UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM SD

SPECIALIZED DISCLOSURE REPORT

UNITED TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION

(Exact name of the registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware 1-812
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) (commission file number)

06-0570975
(IRS Employer Identification No.)

One Financial Plaza, Hartford CT (Address of principal executive offices)

06101

(zip code)

Charles D. Gill, Senior Vice President and General Counsel

(860) 728-7000

(Name and telephone number, including area code, of the person to contact in connection with this report)

Check the appropriate box to indicate the rule pursuant to which this form is being filed, and provide the period to which the information in this form applies: x Rule 13p-1 under the Securities Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.13p-1) for the reporting period from January 1 to December 31, 2014.

United Technologies Corporation and its subsidiaries' names, abbreviations thereof, logos, and product and service designators are all either the registered or unregistered trademarks or tradenames of United Technologies Corporation and its subsidiaries. Names, abbreviations of names, logos, and product and service designators of other companies are either the registered or unregistered trademarks or tradenames of their respective owners. As used herein, the terms "we," "us," "our," "the Company," or "UTC," unless the context otherwise requires, mean United Technologies Corporation and its subsidiaries. References to internet websites in this Form SD are provided for convenience only. Information available through these websites is not incorporated by reference into this Form SD.

Section 1 - Conflict Minerals Disclosure

Item 1.01 Conflict Minerals Disclosure and Report

In accordance with Rule 13p-1 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, United Technologies Corporation (the "Company" or "UTC") is filing a Conflict Minerals Report ("CMR") for the calendar year ended December 31, 2014.

Item 1.02 Exhibit

A copy of UTC's CMR is included as Exhibit 1.01 hereto. A copy of this CMR and UTC's associated Form SD are available free of charge through the Investor Relations section of our website (http://www.utc.com) under the heading "SEC Filings."

Section 2 - Exhibits

Item 2.01 Exhibits

Exhibit 1.01 - CMR as required by Items 1.01 and 1.02 of this Form SD.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the duly authorized undersigned.

UNITED TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION (Registrant)

Date: June 1, 2015 By: /s/ CHARLES D. GILL

Charles D. Gill

Senior Vice President and General Counsel

Date: June 1, 2015 By: /s/ Benoit Brossoit

Benoit Brossoit

Vice President, UTC Operations

Exhibit Index

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description
1.01	CMR for the calendar year ended December 31, 2014 as required by Items 1.01 and 1.02 of this Form

UNITED TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION

Conflict Minerals Report

For the Year Ended December 31, 2014

1. Introduction

United Technologies Corporation is submitting this Conflict Minerals Report ("CMR") for the calendar year ended December 31, 2014 as required by Rule 13p-1 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Rule"). Terms used and not defined in this report have the meanings ascribed in Form SD as adopted by the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") pursuant to the Rule.

United Technologies Corporation and its subsidiaries' names, abbreviations thereof, logos, and product and service designators are all either the registered or unregistered trademarks or tradenames of United Technologies Corporation and its subsidiaries. Names, abbreviations of names, logos, and product and service designators of other companies are either the registered or unregistered trademarks or tradenames of their respective owners. As used herein, the terms "we," "our," "the Company," or "UTC," unless the context otherwise requires, mean United Technologies Corporation and its subsidiaries. References to internet websites in this CMR are provided for convenience only. Information available through these websites is not incorporated by reference into this CMR.

The term "Conflict Minerals" is defined in the Rule and refers to gold, columbite-tantalite (coltan), cassiterite, and wolframite, or their derivatives, which are limited to tantalum, tin, and tungsten ("Conflict Minerals" or "3TG"). The Rule imposes reporting and disclosure obligations on SEC registrants in the event 3TG was necessary to the functionality or production of products manufactured or contracted to be manufactured ("Necessary 3TG") by such registrants during the year for which the report is filed.

2. Company Overview

UTC provides high technology products and services to the building systems and aerospace industries worldwide. UTC reports financial results for five financial reporting segments (which for purposes of this report are hereinafter referred to as "business segments"). Our business segments include businesses with operations throughout the world. UTC Building & Industrial Systems, comprised of the financial reporting segments of Otis and UTC Climate, Controls & Security, is the world's largest provider of building technologies. Its products include elevator, escalator, fire-safety, security, building automation, heating, ventilating, air-conditioning and refrigeration systems and related services. The Pratt & Whitney, UTC Aerospace Systems, and Sikorsky financial reporting segments primarily serve commercial and government customers in both the original equipment and aftermarket parts and services markets of the aerospace industry. Pratt & Whitney also provides products to certain industrial markets.

Additional information about UTC and our business segments may be obtained by accessing our corporate website, www.utc.com.

3. Supply Chain Description

UTC is a large and complex organization with thousands of globally dispersed suppliers. There are multiple tiers of suppliers between UTC's business segments and the mines where 3TG originate. We primarily rely on suppliers who have a direct contractual relationship with UTC to provide information on the origin of 3TG contained in the materials, components, parts, subassemblies, and products contracted to be manufactured (collectively "Components") that we purchase. Our suppliers, in turn, likely rely upon their suppliers, and their suppliers suppliers, for this information.

4. Reasonable Country of Origin Inquiry ("RCOI")

A. Overview

Our business segments developed and implemented a risk-based approach to identify suppliers believed to present an increased likelihood of supplying Components that may contain Necessary 3TG that could have been incorporated into their products. The RCOI undertaken by our business segments included the following elements: (i) applicability assessment, (ii) identification of suppliers to survey, (iii) collection of survey data, and (iv) assessment of survey data collected to determine whether further due diligence was required.

B. Applicability Assessment

Each of our business segments conducted an assessment to investigate whether and the extent to which the Rule applies to the products it manufactured or contracted to manufacture during the reporting period ("applicability assessment"). The

applicability assessment undertaken by each business segment was informed, in part, by the capabilities of its existing technology infrastructure.

Each business segment has enterprise resource planning, procurement, and product specification data management systems. These systems are not necessarily linked and were not designed for Conflict Minerals reporting. Our business segments therefore evaluated their ability to mobilize current technology infrastructure to gather information relevant to Conflict Minerals reporting. Factors considered included the ability to: (i) identify 3TG content in products the business segment manufactured or contracted to manufacture, (ii) identify 3TG content in the Components provided by their suppliers, and (iii) link supplier contact information to material content information for the Components provided by their suppliers. Given the varied nature of each business segment's products and existing data management systems, each of our business segments undertook a separate applicability assessment.

C. Supplier Segmentation

Based on the applicability assessment it had developed, each business segment identified a list of suppliers to survey for purposes of the RCOI undertaken for this reporting period ("Surveyed Suppliers"). The criteria for selecting the suppliers to include in the supply chain survey varied given each business segment's unique circumstances, but generally included consideration of the likelihood of 3TG content in the Components supplied, the amount of spend with suppliers believed likely to incorporate 3TG in the Components supplied, and the information technology infrastructure capabilities at the business segment. In total, for this reporting period, UTC's business segments sent 1,690 surveys to suppliers, estimated to represent over \$10 billion in spend by our business segments during 2014.

D. Supply Chain Survey

As described below in more detail in section 5.B.i., Establish Company Management Systems, Supplier Engagement and Training, we collected information from the Surveyed Suppliers to investigate whether the Necessary 3TG in our products originated from the Democratic Republic of the Congo or an adjoining country (the "Covered Countries"). Based on the RCOI undertaken for this reporting period, UTC has reason to believe that at least a portion of its Necessary 3TG may have originated from the Covered Countries, and has reason to believe that such portion of its Necessary 3TG may not be from recycled or scrap sources. Accordingly, we conducted the below described due diligence on the source and chain of custody of the Necessary 3TG in our products.

Due Diligence

A. Due Diligence Framework

Our due diligence measures have been designed to conform, in all material respects, with the internationally recognized due diligence framework presented by the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development ("OECD") in the publication *OECD (2013) Due Diligence Guidance for Responsible Supply Chains of Minerals from Conflict-Affected and High-Risk Areas: Second Edition, OECD Publishing* and related supplements for gold, tin, tantalum, and tungsten.

B. Due Diligence Measures Undertaken

i. Establish Company Management Systems

Conflict Minerals Policy

UTC established a corporate policy with respect to the sourcing of Conflict Minerals. A copy of this policy is available on UTC's website at: http://www.utc.com/Suppliers/Documents/conflict_minerals_policy.pdf. Among other things, our corporate policy expresses UTC's expectation that our suppliers will comply with the Rule and support UTC in fulfilling its obligations under the Rule. The policy also expresses UTC's preference to source 3TG originating in any of the Covered Countries from a smelter or refiner verified to be conflict-free. The Conflict-Free Sourcing Initiative ("CFSI"), of which UTC is a member, publishes a list of verified conflict-free smelters and refiners as part of its Conflict-Free Smelter Program ("CFSP"). The policy also expresses UTC's commitment to continue to support initiatives that enable the sourcing of 3TG from conflict-free smelters or refiners. In the event we identify non-compliance with our corporate policy on the sourcing of Conflict Minerals, our business segments will attempt to work with the supplier to correct the situation. If a supplier remains non-compliant, the business segment may evaluate its options, including but not limited to reassessment of the supplier relationship.

Conflict Minerals Team

UTC established a cross-functional Conflict Minerals team to develop and implement its Conflict Minerals compliance program. The Conflict Minerals team is comprised of representatives from UTC's Corporate Office and each of UTC's business segments, with representation from functions such as supply chain management, legal, corporate responsibility, and controllers. The Conflict Minerals team is supported by a steering committee comprised of executives in supply chain management from UTC's Corporate Office and each of UTC's business segments.

Supply Chain Controls and Transparency

The supplier engagement efforts that UTC and its business segments undertook for this reporting period are described below in more detail. These efforts helped to further introduce a system of measures intended to enhance controls and transparency regarding the identification of the origin of the Necessary 3TG in our supply chain.

UTC also participated in, and continues to participate in, industry-wide initiatives to improve disclosures regarding the origin of 3TG in supply chains. For example, UTC is a member of the CFSI (member code: AUTC), an initiative of the Electronics Industry Citizenship Coalition and the Global e-Sustainability Initiative. The CFSI helps companies make informed choices about 3TG in their supply chains. For example, one of their program initiatives uses specially trained third-party auditors to independently verify smelters and refiners that produce conflict-free materials. A list of the smelters and refiners that meet the global audit standards from the CFSI program are published online. Another CFSI initiative is the development and publication of the Conflict Minerals Reporting Template ("CMRT"), a standardized reporting template that facilitates the transfer of information through the supply chain regarding the country of origin of 3TG and the smelters and refiners utilized to process such 3TG. UTC also participated as a member of the Aerospace Industries Association conflict minerals working group which has provided educational resources regarding Conflict Minerals to member companies and their suppliers.

Supplier Engagement and Training

During 2014 and the first two months of 2015, we conducted a supply chain survey to investigate whether the Necessary 3TG in our products originated in any of the Covered Countries. We used the CMRT developed by the CFSI or a tool based on the CMRT to collect information from our Surveyed Suppliers. Among other inquiries, the survey contained questions regarding whether the supplier's products contain 3TG, the supplier's policy with respect to conflict-free sourcing, the supplier's due diligence process, and information about the supplier's supply chain, such as the names of the smelters or refiners that processed 3TG in the supplier's products, and the names and locations of the mines where such 3TG was sourced.

As part of our supplier engagement, UTC provided the Surveyed Suppliers with a video message from UTC leadership about the conflict minerals law and our expectations of how our suppliers will help us to comply with this law. UTC also developed and provided a conflict minerals presentation to the Surveyed Suppliers that summarized the relevant requirements of the Rule, UTC's obligations under the Rule, and reiterated UTC's expectation that its suppliers will cooperate with UTC's supply chain investigation. UTC provided the Surveyed Suppliers with instructions for responding to our survey and access to a conflict minerals compliance e-mail address, monitored by a member of UTC's Conflict Minerals team or a representative, which Surveyed Suppliers could use to seek assistance in interpreting and completing our business segments' survey.

We have incorporated a conflict minerals clause in our standard contract terms and conditions of purchase for the negotiation of new and renewal contracts. The conflict minerals clause requires our direct suppliers to comply with the RCOI and due diligence requirements of the Rule, except that suppliers who are not SEC registrants are not required to comply with the Rule's filing requirements.

Internal Training

UTC developed training and reference materials for its employees about Conflict Minerals, including a web-based training module summarizing the relevant requirements of the Rule, UTC's obligations under the Rule, and the processes UTC designed to evaluate and respond to the risk of Necessary 3TG in its supply chain that directly or indirectly financed or benefited armed groups in the Covered Countries.

Maintain Records

UTC has adopted a policy to retain relevant documentation.

Grievance Mechanism

Employees or third parties may report any concerns about or violations of UTC's corporate policy with respect to the sourcing of Conflict Minerals via UTC's Ombudsman/DIALOG Program. Additional information regarding UTC's Ombudsman/ DIALOG Program is available at: http://www.utc.com/Our-Company/Ethics-And-Compliance.

ii. Identify and Assess Risks in the Supply Chain

As described in further detail above in section 5.B.i., Establish Company Management Systems, Supplier Engagement and Training, UTC and its business segments conducted a supply chain survey to investigate the origin of Necessary 3TG in our products.

Suppliers who did not respond to our business segments' survey received multiple letters reminding them to submit their survey responses within a stated time period. The letters increasingly emphasized the importance of the supplier completing our business segments' survey. In addition, members of the UTC Conflict Minerals team and their colleagues, such as account executives, asked personal contacts at non-responsive suppliers for their assistance in resolving overdue survey requests.

Members of the UTC Conflict Minerals team or business segment representatives reviewed and evaluated the survey responses received for reliability based on an established set of criteria and a defined review process. Survey responses that indicated the supplier potentially sourced Necessary 3TG from the Covered Countries, as well as survey responses that were identified as potentially inconsistent, incomplete, or inaccurate were "red flagged" for further review based on defined criteria. The red flag review included automated logical tests based on the responses to our survey questions. Each supplier survey response that triggered one or more red flag review test was manually reviewed by members of the UTC Conflict Minerals team or a business segment representative to determine whether additional follow up was necessary.

iii. Design and Implement a Strategy to Respond to Risks

UTC designed and implemented a supplier engagement and risk management plan to evaluate and respond to the risk of Necessary 3TG in its supply chain that directly or indirectly financed or benefited armed groups in the Covered Countries. In the event we identify non-compliance with our corporate policy on the sourcing of Conflict Minerals, our business segments will attempt to work with the supplier to correct the situation. If a supplier remains non-compliant, the business segment may evaluate its options, including but not limited to reassessment of the supplier relationship. During this reporting period, our business segments undertook the due diligence activities described below to evaluate and respond to the risk of 3TG in their supply chains that directly or indirectly financed or benefited armed groups in the Covered Countries.

Responses provided by the Surveyed Suppliers were subject to due diligence measures that UTC and its business segments undertook when we had reason to believe that such suppliers may have provided Necessary 3TG that originated from one of the Covered Countries and were not from recycled or scrap sources. When warranted based on established criteria, suppliers were sent notification letters requesting corrective action to mitigate the identified risk.

For example, as part of the automated logical tests performed on the survey responses received, we identified suppliers whose survey responses stated they sourced 3TG from one of the Covered Countries, irrespective of whether such suppliers also identified Components containing such 3TG that were supplied to our business segments. We then manually compared the smelter name(s) these suppliers identified in their survey responses against the CFSI's list of smelters verified as compliant with the CFSP. In the event a supplier advised it sourced 3TG from any of the Covered Countries from a smelter not verified as conflict-free, we sent the supplier correspondence encouraging it to source such 3TG from a smelter or refiner verified as compliant with the CFSP or a similar conflict-free program, and provided information regarding the CFSP, including the process for a smelter to join the CFSP.

Risk assessment findings based on the supply chain survey and due diligence conducted were reported to senior management.

iv. Carry out Independent Third Party Audit of Smelter's and Refiner's Due Diligence Practices

We do not typically have a direct relationship with smelters and refiners that process 3TG, and we do not typically perform or direct audits of these entities within our supply chain. We support audits of smelters and refiners through our participation in the CFSI. The CFSI developed the CFSP which uses an independent third-party auditor to identify smelters and refiners that have systems in place to assure sourcing of only conflict-free materials.

v. Report Annually on Supply Chain Due Diligence

A copy of this CMR and UTC's associated Form SD are available free of charge through the Investor Relations section of our website (http://www.utc.com) under the heading "SEC Filings."

6. Efforts to Determine Mine or Country of Origin

Our supply chain survey included questions that asked the Surveyed Suppliers for the name of the smelter(s) in their supply chain, the name of the mine(s), and the location of the mine(s) from where 3TG in their supply chain originated. The cover letter for our supply chain survey instructed suppliers, to the extent they had determined the products delivered to UTC's business segments contained 3TG originating from one of the Covered Countries, to provide a "product-level" declaration with information specific to such products (e.g., the name of the mine, country of origin, and/or the name of the smelter or refiner who processed 3TG in such products).

7. RCOI and Due Diligence Results

A. Survey Responses

In total, UTC's business segments sent 1,690 surveys to suppliers, estimated to represent over \$10 billion in spend by our business segments during 2014. Of the 1,690 surveys sent, we received 1,175 completed surveys, representing a response rate of 70%. Based on a review of the completed surveys received, no Surveyed Supplier disclosed that it or its suppliers both provided 3TG believed to have originated from one of the Covered Countries, and that such 3TG is known or believed to have directly or indirectly financed or benefited armed groups.

We identified 60 survey responses where a supplier indicated at least some of its products contained 3TG that may have originated from one of the Covered Countries. Despite the due diligence we have undertaken for this reporting period, we were unable to link such 3TG to the Components supplied to our business segments. We were unable to link 3TG from one of the Covered Countries to the Components supplied to our business segments because the information provided in the survey responses was generally provided at a supplier-company level or an aggregate level that did not allow us to connect a Component supplied to one of our business segments to the name of the smelter(s) that processed 3TG in such a Component. Therefore, we are unable to determine whether any of the products our business segments manufactured or contracted to manufacture during the reporting period contained Necessary 3TG that originated from one of the Covered Countries, and if so, whether it originated from recycled or scrap sources, or from a smelter or refiner verified as compliant with a conflict-free program such as the CFSP.

B. Facilities Used to Process Necessary 3TG Originating from the Covered Countries

We are a "downstream" company with a complex, global, multi-tiered supply chain. Despite the RCOI and due diligence undertaken for this reporting period, based on the survey responses received, we did not have sufficient information to identify any smelters or refiners that are in our supply chain that processed Necessary 3TG from any of the Covered Countries and that were not from recycled or scrap sources.

C. Continuous Improvement Efforts to Mitigate Risk

In the CMR we filed with the SEC for the reporting period ended December 31, 2013, we identified certain steps we intended to undertake during the current reporting period in order to improve the due diligence conducted to further mitigate risks that Necessary 3TG used in our products could benefit armed groups in the Covered Countries. We undertook the following actions during this reporting period to satisfy those identified continuous improvement efforts:

Expand our supply chain survey.

Year-over-year, we increased the number of surveys sent and we increased the estimated surveyed spend by approximately \$1 billion.

- Re-engage suppliers who did not respond to our survey for the previous reporting period.
 - Year-over-year, we took affirmative steps, including re-engaging certain suppliers that did not respond to our survey for the previous reporting period, which resulted in an increase of our survey response rate from 54% to 70%, an absolute response rate improvement of 16%. Our survey response rate is based on the total number of completed surveys received using the CMRT or a tool based on the CMRT.
- Continue to participate in trade association and/or industry-wide initiatives to define and improve best practices for conducting due diligence on supply chains containing 3TG.
 - We satisfied this by continuing our participation in trade association and industry-wide initiatives such as the CFSI and AIA as discussed in more detail above in section 5.B.i., Supply Chain Controls and Transparency.
- Continue to participate in trade associations and/or industry-wide initiatives that support the development of conflict-free supply chains such as the CFSI's CFSP.

We satisfied this by continuing our participation in trade association and industry-wide initiatives such as the CFSI as discussed in more detail above in section 5.B.i., Supply Chain Controls and Transparency.

In addition, for this reporting period, we asked Surveyed Suppliers, to the extent they believed the products delivered to UTC's business segments may have contained 3TG originating from one of the Covered Countries, to provide 3TG information specific to such products (i.e., a "product-level" CMRT declaration). However, none of the Surveyed Suppliers provided information that established a chain of custody that linked the specific smelters or refiners believed to have processed 3TG from one of the Covered Countries to the Components delivered to our business segments.

Since December 31, 2014, UTC has taken, or intends to take the following steps to improve the due diligence conducted to further mitigate risks that the Necessary 3TG used in our products could benefit armed groups in the Covered Countries. As part of our regularly scheduled compliance program activities, we intend to:

- Continue to direct suppliers to our corporate policy with respect to the sourcing of Conflict Minerals;
- Continue following-up with suppliers whose survey responses indicate they source 3TG from one of the Covered Countries and encourage them to source such 3TG from smelter(s) or refiner(s) verified as compliant with the CFSP or a similar conflict-free program;

- Continue to identify and follow-up with suppliers who do not respond to our supply chain survey in an effort to increase our survey response rate and obtain additional information about the sourcing of 3TG in our supply chain; and
- Continue participating in trade association and/or industry-wide initiatives to define and improve best practices for conducting due diligence on supply chains containing 3TG and/or that support the development of conflict-free supply chains such as the CFSI's CFSP.

Cautionary Note Concerning Forward-Looking Statements

This report contains statements which, to the extent they are not statements of historical or present fact, constitute "forward-looking statements" under the securities laws. These forward-looking statements are intended to provide management's current expectations or plans for our future business practices and performance, based on assumptions currently believed to be valid. Forward-looking statements can be identified by the use of words such as "believe," "expect," "expect," "expectations," "plans," "intends," "strategy," "estimate," "project," "target," "anticipate," "will," "should," "see," "guidance," "confident" and other words of similar meaning in connection with a discussion of future performance. Forward-looking statements may include, among other things, statements relating to future supply management practices, policies and plans for procurement of materials, risk management practices, supply chain infrastructure and efforts to improve supply chain transparency. All forward-looking statements involve risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed or implied in the forward-looking statements. For those statements, we claim the protection of the safe harbor for forward-looking statements contained in the U.S. Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Such risks, uncertainties and other factors include, without limitation, the ability of the Company, its suppliers, industry groups and supplier organizations to obtain reliable information as to the source of purchased production materials; the timing for the development of infrastructure allowing such information to be compiled and shared with others in a cost effective and efficient manner; the impact of changes in laws and regulations, and the interpretation thereof, and in political conditions; and other factors beyond our control. The forward-looking statements speak only as of the date of this report and we undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looki