
**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON D.C. 20549**

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2010

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number 1-812

UNITED TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION

DELAWARE

06-0570975

**One Financial Plaza, Hartford, Connecticut 06103
(860) 728-7000**

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes . No .

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes . No .

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Accelerated filer	<input type="checkbox"/>
Non-accelerated filer	<input type="checkbox"/> (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)	Smaller reporting company	<input type="checkbox"/>

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes . No .

At June 30, 2010 there were 929,069,716 shares of Common Stock outstanding.

[Table of Contents](#)

**UNITED TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION
AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONTENTS OF QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q
Quarter Ended June 30, 2010**

	<u>Page</u>
PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1. Financial Statements:	3
Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations for the quarters ended June 30, 2010 and 2009	3
Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009	4
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009	5
Condensed Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009	6
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	7
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	23
Item 2. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	24
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	40
Item 4. Controls and Procedures	40
PART II – OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1. Legal Proceedings	41
Item 1A. Risk Factors	41
Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	45
Item 6. Exhibits	46
SIGNATURES	47
EXHIBIT INDEX	48

United Technologies Corporation and its subsidiaries’ names, abbreviations thereof, logos, and product and service designators are all either the registered or unregistered trademarks or tradenames of United Technologies Corporation and its subsidiaries. Names, abbreviations of names, logos, and products and service designators of other companies are either the registered or unregistered trademarks or tradenames of their respective owners. As used herein, the terms “we,” “us,” “our” or “UTC,” unless the context otherwise requires, mean United Technologies Corporation and its subsidiaries.

PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

**UNITED TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION
AND SUBSIDIARIES**
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS
(Unaudited)

<u>(in millions, except per share amounts)</u>	<u>Quarter Ended June 30,</u>	
	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>
Revenues:		
Product sales	\$ 9,967	\$ 9,327
Service sales	3,835	3,733
Other income, net	88	136
	<u>13,890</u>	<u>13,196</u>
Costs and Expenses:		
Cost of products sold	7,466	7,111
Cost of services sold	2,549	2,490
Research and development	459	384
Selling, general and administrative	1,491	1,574
Operating profit	<u>1,925</u>	<u>1,637</u>
Interest expense	192	177
Income before income taxes	1,733	1,460
Income tax expense	521	394
Net income	1,212	1,066
Less: Noncontrolling interest in subsidiaries' earnings	102	90
Net income attributable to common shareowners	<u>\$ 1,110</u>	<u>\$ 976</u>
Earnings Per Share of Common Stock:		
Basic	\$ 1.22	\$ 1.06
Diluted	\$ 1.20	\$ 1.05
Dividends Per Share of Common Stock	\$.43	\$.39
Weighted average number of shares outstanding:		
Basic shares	910	919
Diluted shares	925	929

See accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

**UNITED TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION
AND SUBSIDIARIES**
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS
(Unaudited)

<u>(in millions, except per share amounts)</u>	<u>Six Months Ended June 30,</u>	
	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>
Revenues:		
Product sales	\$ 18,352	\$ 17,989
Service sales	7,490	7,270
Other income, net	139	186
	<u>25,981</u>	<u>25,445</u>
Costs and Expenses:		
Cost of products sold	13,825	13,867
Cost of services sold	4,922	4,841
Research and development	856	793
Selling, general and administrative	2,915	3,057
Operating profit	3,463	2,887
Interest expense	378	352
Income before income taxes	3,085	2,535
Income tax expense	926	670
Net income	2,159	1,865
Less: Noncontrolling interest in subsidiaries' earnings	183	167
Net income attributable to common shareowners	<u>\$ 1,976</u>	<u>\$ 1,698</u>
Earnings Per Share of Common Stock:		
Basic	\$ 2.17	\$ 1.85
Diluted	\$ 2.13	\$ 1.83
Dividends Per Share of Common Stock		
	\$.85	\$.77
Weighted average number of shares outstanding:		
Basic shares	912	919
Diluted shares	927	927

See accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

**UNITED TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION
AND SUBSIDIARIES**
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET
(Unaudited)

(in millions)	June 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
<u>Assets</u>		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 4,997	\$ 4,449
Accounts receivable, net	8,881	8,469
Inventories and contracts in progress, net	8,083	7,509
Future income tax benefits, current	1,624	1,689
Other assets, current	816	1,078
Total Current Assets	<u>24,401</u>	<u>23,194</u>
Customer financing assets	1,103	1,047
Future income tax benefits	2,037	2,102
Fixed assets	15,519	15,677
Less: Accumulated depreciation	(9,429)	(9,313)
Fixed assets, net	<u>6,090</u>	<u>6,364</u>
Goodwill	16,914	16,298
Intangible assets, net	3,899	3,538
Other assets	3,899	3,219
Total Assets	<u>\$ 58,343</u>	<u>\$ 55,762</u>
<u>Liabilities and Equity</u>		
Short-term borrowings	\$ 1,422	\$ 254
Accounts payable	5,057	4,634
Accrued liabilities	11,679	11,792
Long-term debt currently due	604	1,233
Total Current Liabilities	<u>18,762</u>	<u>17,913</u>
Long-term debt	10,039	8,257
Future pension and postretirement benefit obligations	3,996	4,150
Other long-term liabilities	4,320	4,054
Total Liabilities	<u>37,117</u>	<u>34,374</u>
Redeemable noncontrolling interest	311	389
Shareowners' Equity:		
Common Stock	12,205	11,746
Treasury Stock	(16,431)	(15,408)
Retained earnings	28,569	27,396
Unearned ESOP shares	(172)	(181)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(4,238)	(3,487)
Total Shareowners' Equity	<u>19,933</u>	<u>20,066</u>
Noncontrolling interest	982	933
Total Equity	<u>20,915</u>	<u>20,999</u>
Total Liabilities and Equity	<u>\$ 58,343</u>	<u>\$ 55,762</u>

See accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

**UNITED TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION
AND SUBSIDIARIES**
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS
(Unaudited)

(in millions)	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2010	2009
Operating Activities:		
Net income attributable to common shareowners	\$ 1,976	\$ 1,698
Noncontrolling interest in subsidiaries' earnings	183	167
Net income	2,159	1,865
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash flows provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	666	609
Deferred income tax (benefit) provision	(128)	23
Stock compensation cost	88	78
Change in:		
Accounts receivable	(552)	821
Inventories and contracts in progress	(653)	(320)
Other current assets	(26)	(75)
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	834	(622)
Global pension contributions*	(261)	(451)
Other operating activities, net	427	97
Net cash flows provided by operating activities	2,554	2,025
Investing Activities:		
Capital expenditures	(302)	(340)
Investments in businesses	(2,368)	(197)
Dispositions of businesses	132	44
Increase in customer financing assets, net	(28)	(38)
Other investing activities, net	207	4
Net cash flows used in investing activities	(2,359)	(527)
Financing Activities:		
Issuance (repayment) of long-term debt, net	1,106	(876)
Increase in short-term borrowings, net	1,174	248
Common Stock issued under employee stock plans	162	101
Dividends paid on Common Stock	(744)	(679)
Repurchase of Common Stock	(1,150)	(350)
Other financing activities, net	(143)	(229)
Net cash flows provided by (used in) financing activities	405	(1,785)
Effect of foreign exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(52)	(24)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	548	(311)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	4,449	4,327
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 4,997	\$ 4,016

* Non-cash activities include contributions of UTC common stock of \$250 million to domestic defined benefit pension plans in the second quarter of 2010. There were no contributions of UTC common stock in 2009.

See accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

**UNITED TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION
AND SUBSIDIARIES**

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

The Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements at June 30, 2010 and for the quarters and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 are unaudited, but in the opinion of management include all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring adjustments) necessary for a fair presentation of the results for the interim periods. The results reported in these Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements should not necessarily be taken as indicative of results that may be expected for the entire year. The financial information included herein should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes in our Annual Report to Shareowners (2009 Annual Report) incorporated by reference to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for calendar year 2009 (2009 Form 10-K).

Note 1: Acquisitions, Dispositions, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

Business Acquisitions. During the first six months of 2010, our investment in business acquisitions was approximately \$2.4 billion, including debt assumed of \$32 million, principally reflecting the acquisitions of the General Electric (GE) Security business, and an equity stake in Clipper Windpower Plc (Clipper). On March 1, 2010, we completed the acquisition of the GE Security business for approximately \$1.8 billion, including debt assumed of \$32 million. The GE Security business supplies security and fire safety technologies for commercial and residential applications through a broad product portfolio that includes fire detection and life safety systems, intrusion alarms, and video surveillance and access control systems. This business is being integrated into our UTC Fire & Security segment during the course of 2010, and will enhance UTC Fire & Security's geographic diversity with the strong North American presence and increased product and technology offerings of GE Security. In connection with the acquisition of GE Security, we recorded \$1.1 billion of goodwill and approximately \$600 million of identifiable intangible assets.

In January 2010, we completed the acquisition of a 49.5% equity stake in Clipper, a California-based wind turbine manufacturer that trades on the AIM London Stock Exchange. This investment is intended to expand our power generation portfolio and allow us to enter the wind power market by leveraging our expertise in blade technology, turbines and gearbox design. The total cost was £166 million (approximately \$270 million) for the purchase of 84.3 million newly issued shares and 21.8 million shares from existing shareowners. We have accounted for this investment under the equity method of accounting. Subsequent to the initial purchase, we increased our investment to 49.9%. Pursuant to our agreement with Clipper, we are prohibited from acquiring additional shares of Clipper within two years of the closing date that would result in an equity stake in excess of 49.9% without the prior approval of Clipper.

During the quarter ended June 30, 2010, we recorded approximately \$86 million of asset impairment charges, for assets that have met the held-for-sale criteria, related primarily to the expected disposition of businesses within both Carrier and Hamilton Sundstrand. These asset impairment charges are recorded within Cost of products sold on our Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations. The asset impairment charges include a \$58 million charge related to the expected disposition of a business associated with Carrier's ongoing portfolio transformation to a higher returns business and a \$28 million charge at Hamilton Sundstrand related primarily to the expected disposition of an aerospace business as part of Hamilton Sundstrand's efforts to implement low cost sourcing initiatives.

Goodwill. Changes in our goodwill balances for the first six months of 2010 were as follows:

<u>(in millions)</u>	<u>Balance as of January 1, 2010</u>	<u>Goodwill resulting from business combinations</u>	<u>Foreign currency translation and other</u>	<u>Balance as of June 30, 2010</u>
Otis	\$ 1,382	\$ 86	\$ (69)	\$ 1,399
Carrier	3,252	11	(111)	3,152
UTC Fire & Security	5,641	1,111	(323)	6,429
Pratt & Whitney	1,237	—	(22)	1,215
Hamilton Sundstrand	4,496	1	(62)	4,435
Sikorsky	250	—	(6)	244
Total Segments	16,258	1,209	(593)	16,874
Eliminations and other	40	—	—	40
Total	<u>\$ 16,298</u>	<u>\$ 1,209</u>	<u>\$ (593)</u>	<u>\$ 16,914</u>

[Table of Contents](#)

Intangible Assets. Identifiable intangible assets are comprised of the following:

(in millions)	June 30, 2010		December 31, 2009	
	Gross Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Gross Amount	Accumulated Amortization
Amortized:				
Service portfolios	\$ 1,801	\$ (833)	\$ 1,814	\$ (833)
Patents and trademarks	419	(127)	369	(120)
Other, principally customer relationships	3,003	(1,058)	2,624	(1,047)
	<u>5,223</u>	<u>(2,018)</u>	<u>4,807</u>	<u>(2,000)</u>
Unamortized:				
Trademarks and other	694	—	731	—
Total	<u>\$ 5,917</u>	<u>\$ (2,018)</u>	<u>\$ 5,538</u>	<u>\$ (2,000)</u>

Amortization of intangible assets for the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2010 was \$90 million and \$179 million, respectively, compared with \$85 million and \$171 million for the same periods of 2009. Amortization of these intangible assets for 2010 through 2014 is expected to approximate \$300 million per year.

Note 2: Earnings Per Share

(in millions, except per share amounts)	Quarter Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Net income attributable to common shareowners	<u>\$ 1,110</u>	<u>\$ 976</u>	<u>\$ 1,976</u>	<u>\$ 1,698</u>
Basic weighted average number of shares outstanding	910	919	912	919
Stock awards	15	10	15	8
Diluted weighted average number of shares outstanding	<u>925</u>	<u>929</u>	<u>927</u>	<u>927</u>
Earnings Per Share of Common Stock:				
Basic	\$ 1.22	\$ 1.06	\$ 2.17	\$ 1.85
Diluted	\$ 1.20	\$ 1.05	\$ 2.13	\$ 1.83

The computation of diluted earnings per share excludes the effect of the potential exercise of stock awards, including stock appreciation rights and stock options, when the average market price of the common stock is lower than the exercise price of the related stock awards during the period. These outstanding stock awards are not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because the effect would be antidilutive. The number of stock awards excluded from the computation was 12.7 million for the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2010. For the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2009, the number of stock awards excluded from the computation was 37.5 million and 38.8 million, respectively.

Note 3: Inventories and Contracts in Progress

(in millions)	June 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
Raw materials	\$ 1,281	\$ 1,281
Work-in-process	3,465	3,097
Finished goods	3,077	2,889
Contracts in progress	6,309	6,479
	<u>14,132</u>	<u>13,746</u>
Less:		
Progress payments, secured by lien, on U.S. Government contracts	(282)	(264)
Billings on contracts in progress	(5,767)	(5,973)
	<u>\$ 8,083</u>	<u>\$ 7,509</u>

[Table of Contents](#)

As of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the above inventory balances include capitalized contract development costs of \$833 million and \$862 million, respectively, related to certain aerospace programs. These capitalized costs are liquidated as production units are delivered to the customer. The capitalized contract development costs within inventory principally relate to costs capitalized on Sikorsky's CH-148 contract with the Canadian government. The CH-148 is a derivative of the H-92, a military variant of the S-92.

Note 4: Borrowings and Lines of Credit

<u>(in millions)</u>	<u>June 30, 2010</u>	<u>December 31, 2009</u>
Commercial paper	\$ 1,225	\$ —
Other borrowings	197	254
Total short-term borrowings	\$ 1,422	\$ 254

At June 30, 2010, we had committed credit agreements from banks permitting aggregate borrowings of up to \$2.5 billion under a \$1.5 billion revolving credit agreement and a \$1.0 billion multicurrency revolving credit agreement, both of which are available for general funding purposes, including acquisitions. As of June 30, 2010, there were no borrowings under either of these revolving credit agreements, which expire in October 2011 and November 2011, respectively. The undrawn portions under both of these agreements are also available to serve as backup facilities for the issuance of commercial paper. We generally use our commercial paper borrowings for general corporate purposes, including the funding of potential acquisitions and repurchases of our common stock.

Long-term debt consists of the following:

<u>(in millions)</u>	<u>June 30, 2010</u>	<u>December 31, 2009</u>
4.375% notes due 2010*	\$ —	\$ 600
7.125% notes due 2010*	—	500
6.350% notes due 2011*	500	500
6.100% notes due 2012*	500	500
4.875% notes due 2015*	1,200	1,200
5.375% notes due 2017*	1,000	1,000
6.125% notes due 2019*	1,250	1,250
8.875% notes due 2019	272	272
4.500% notes due 2020*	1,250	—
8.750% notes due 2021	250	250
6.700% notes due 2028	400	400
7.500% notes due 2029*	550	550
5.400% notes due 2035*	600	600
6.050% notes due 2036*	600	600
6.125% notes due 2038*	1,000	1,000
5.700% notes due 2040*	1,000	—
Project financing obligations	127	158
Other (including capitalized leases)	144	110
Total long-term debt	10,643	9,490
Less current portion	(604)	(1,233)
Long-term debt, net of current portion	\$ 10,039	\$ 8,257

* We may redeem some or all of these series of notes at any time at a redemption price in U.S. dollars equal to the greater of 100% of the principal amount outstanding of the applicable series of notes to be redeemed, or the sum of the present values of the remaining scheduled payments of principal and interest on the applicable series of notes to be redeemed. The discounts applied on such redemptions are based on a semiannual calculation at an adjusted treasury rate plus 10-50 basis points. The redemption price will also include interest accrued to the date of redemption on the principal balance of the notes being redeemed.

In February 2010, we issued two series of fixed rate notes that pay interest semiannually, in arrears, on April 15 and October 15 of each year beginning October 15, 2010. The \$1.25 billion principal amount of fixed rate notes bears interest at a rate equal to 4.500% per year and matures on April 15, 2020. The \$1.0 billion principal amount of fixed rate notes bears interest at a rate equal to 5.700% per year and matures on April 15, 2040. The proceeds from these notes were used primarily to partially fund the acquisition of the GE Security business, and to repay commercial paper borrowings.

[Table of Contents](#)

In May 2010, we repaid the entire \$600 million outstanding principal amount of our 4.375% notes at maturity. In June 2010, we redeemed the entire \$500 million outstanding principal amount of our 7.125% notes that were due November 15, 2010.

We have an existing universal shelf registration statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) for an indeterminate amount of securities for future issuance, subject to our internal limitations on the amount of debt to be issued under this shelf registration statement.

Note 5: Income Taxes

We conduct business globally and, as a result, UTC or one or more of our subsidiaries files income tax returns in the U.S. federal jurisdiction and various state and foreign jurisdictions. In the normal course of business we are subject to examination by taxing authorities throughout the world, including such major jurisdictions as Australia, Belgium, Canada, China, France, Germany, Hong Kong, Italy, Japan, South Korea, Singapore, Spain, the United Kingdom and the United States. With few exceptions, we are no longer subject to U.S. federal, state and local, or non-U.S. income tax examinations for years before 1998.

In the ordinary course of business there is inherent uncertainty in quantifying our income tax positions. We assess our income tax positions and record tax benefits for all years subject to examination based upon management's evaluation of the facts, circumstances, and information available at the reporting date. For those tax positions where it is more likely than not that a tax benefit will be sustained, we have recorded the largest amount of tax benefit with a greater than 50% likelihood of being realized upon ultimate settlement with a taxing authority that has full knowledge of all relevant information. For those income tax positions where it is not more likely than not that a tax benefit will be sustained, no tax benefit has been recognized in the financial statements. Where applicable, associated interest has also been recognized. Interest accrued in relation to unrecognized tax benefits is recognized in interest expense. Penalties, if incurred, would be recognized as a component of income tax expense.

It is reasonably possible that over the next twelve months the amount of unrecognized tax benefits may change within a range of a net increase of \$40 million to a net decrease of \$130 million resulting from additional worldwide uncertain tax positions, from the re-evaluation of current uncertain tax positions arising from developments in examinations, in appeals, or in the courts, or from the closure of tax statutes. Not included in the range is €198 million (approximately \$243 million) of tax benefits that we have claimed related to a 1998 German reorganization. These tax benefits are currently being reviewed by the German Tax Office in the course of an audit of tax years 1999 to 2000. In 2008 the German Federal Tax Court denied benefits to another taxpayer in a case involving a German tax law relevant to our reorganization. The determination of the German Federal Tax Court on this other matter was appealed to the European Court of Justice (ECJ) to determine if the underlying German tax law is violative of European Union (EU) principles. On September 17, 2009 the ECJ issued an opinion in this case that is generally favorable to the other taxpayer and referred the case back to the German Federal Tax Court for further consideration of certain related issues. In May 2010, the German Federal Tax Court released its decision, in which it resolved certain tax issues that may be relevant to our audit and remanded the case to a lower court for further development. After consideration of the ECJ decision and the latest German Federal Tax Court decision, we continue to believe that it is more likely than not that the relevant German tax law is violative of EU principles and we have not accrued tax expense for this matter. As we continue to monitor developments related to this matter, it may become necessary for us to accrue tax expense and related interest.

In 2009 the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) Examination Division completed its review of tax years 2004 and 2005 and certain proposed tax adjustments with which the Company did not agree were transferred to the IRS Appeals Division for resolution discussions. The Company expects these resolution discussions to be ongoing into 2011. In 2009, the IRS Examination Division also commenced review activity of tax years 2006, 2007 and 2008, which is expected to continue through 2011.

The effective tax rate for the quarter ended June 30, 2010 has increased as compared to the same period of 2009 as a result of an overall increase in the forecasted effective tax rate, the net tax effects of asset impairment charges in 2010 and the absence of a non-taxable gain recorded in the same period of 2009. The effective tax rate for the six months ended June 30, 2010 increased as compared to the same period of 2009 as a result of an overall increase to the forecasted effective tax rate, the impact from health care legislation related to the Medicare Part D program in the first quarter of 2010, and the absence of a \$25 million tax benefit and non-taxable gain recorded in 2009.

[Table of Contents](#)

Note 6: Employee Benefit Plans

Pension and Postretirement Plans. We sponsor both funded and unfunded domestic and foreign defined pension and other postretirement benefit plans, and defined contribution plans. Contributions to these plans were as follows:

(in millions)	Quarter Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Defined Benefit Plans	\$ 469	\$ 428	\$ 511	\$ 451
Defined Contribution Plans	\$ 46	\$ 48	\$ 96	\$ 101

In the first six months of 2010, we made contributions of \$451 million to our domestic defined benefit pension plans, almost entirely in the second quarter, including a \$250 million contribution of UTC common stock. In the first six months of 2009, we made contributions of \$401 million to our domestic defined benefit pension plans, all of which was contributed in the second quarter of 2009. There were no contributions of UTC common stock to our domestic defined benefit pension plans in the first six months of 2009.

The following tables illustrate the components of net periodic benefit cost for our defined pension and other postretirement benefit plans:

(in millions)	Pension Benefits		Other Postretirement Benefits	
	Quarter Ended		Quarter Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Service cost	\$ 99	\$ 108	\$ —	\$ 1
Interest cost	320	319	12	13
Expected return on plan assets	(428)	(403)	—	—
Amortization	(4)	14	—	(1)
Recognized actuarial net loss	71	56	(1)	(1)
Net settlement and curtailment loss	11	4	—	—
Total net periodic benefit cost	\$ 69	\$ 98	\$ 11	\$ 12

(in millions)	Pension Benefits		Other Postretirement Benefits	
	Six Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Service cost	\$ 198	\$ 214	\$ 1	\$ 2
Interest cost	642	635	23	25
Expected return on plan assets	(859)	(802)	—	—
Amortization	(8)	28	(1)	(2)
Recognized actuarial net loss	142	112	(1)	(2)
Net settlement and curtailment loss	17	17	—	—
Total net periodic benefit cost	\$ 132	\$ 204	\$ 22	\$ 23

Note 7: Restructuring and Other Costs

During the first six months of 2010, we recorded net pre-tax restructuring and other costs in our business segments totaling \$152 million for new and ongoing restructuring actions as follows:

(in millions)	
Otis	\$ 28
Carrier	33
UTC Fire & Security	29
Pratt & Whitney	35
Hamilton Sundstrand	9
Sikorsky	7
Eliminations and other	11
Total	\$152

Table of Contents

The net costs included \$91 million recorded in cost of sales, \$60 million in selling, general and administrative expenses and \$1 million in other income, net. As described below, these costs primarily relate to actions initiated during 2010 and 2009.

2010 Actions. During the first six months of 2010, we initiated restructuring actions relating to ongoing cost reduction efforts, including workforce reductions and consolidation of field operations. We recorded net pre-tax restructuring and other costs totaling \$121 million, including \$68 million in cost of sales and \$53 million in selling, general and administrative expenses.

We expect the actions initiated in the first six months of 2010 to result in net workforce reductions of approximately 2,400 hourly and salaried employees, the exiting of approximately 2.8 million net square feet of facilities and the disposal of assets associated with the exited facilities. As of June 30, 2010, we have completed net workforce reductions of approximately 900 employees. We are targeting the majority of the remaining workforce and all facility related cost reduction actions for completion during 2010 and 2011. No specific plans for significant other actions have been finalized at this time.

The following table summarizes the accrual balances and utilization by cost type for the 2010 restructuring actions:

(in millions)	Severance	Asset Write-Downs	Facility Exit and Lease Termination Costs	Total
Restructuring accruals at March 31, 2010	\$ 37	\$ —	\$ 6	\$ 43
Net pre-tax restructuring costs	58	12	2	72
Utilization	(24)	(12)	(4)	(40)
Balance at June 30, 2010	<u>\$ 71</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 4</u>	<u>\$ 75</u>

The following table summarizes expected, incurred and remaining costs for the 2010 restructuring actions by type:

(in millions)	Severance	Asset Write-Downs	Facility Exit and Lease Termination Costs	Total
Expected costs	\$ 116	\$ 12	\$ 48	\$ 176
Costs incurred - quarter ended March 31, 2010	(42)	—	(7)	(49)
Costs incurred - quarter ended June 30, 2010	(58)	(12)	(2)	(72)
Balance at June 30, 2010	<u>\$ 16</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 39</u>	<u>\$ 55</u>

The following table summarizes expected, incurred and remaining costs for the 2010 restructuring actions by segment:

(in millions)	Expected Costs	Costs Incurred Quarter Ended March 31, 2010	Costs Incurred Quarter Ended June 30, 2010	Remaining Costs at June 30, 2010
Otis	\$ 30	\$ (11)	\$ (15)	\$ 4
Carrier	49	(8)	(17)	24
UTC Fire & Security	37	(9)	(13)	15
Pratt & Whitney	30	(19)	(4)	7
Hamilton Sundstrand	9	(2)	(5)	2
Sikorsky	10	—	(7)	3
Eliminations and other	11	—	(11)	—
Total	<u>\$ 176</u>	<u>\$ (49)</u>	<u>\$ (72)</u>	<u>\$ 55</u>

2009 Actions. During the first six months of 2010, we recorded net pre-tax restructuring and other costs and reversals totaling \$35 million for restructuring actions initiated in 2009, including \$23 million in cost of sales, \$11 million in selling, general and administrative expenses and \$1 million in other income, net. The 2009 actions relate to ongoing cost reduction efforts, including workforce reductions, the consolidation of field operations and the consolidation of repair and overhaul operations.

Table of Contents

As of June 30, 2010, we have completed net workforce reductions of approximately 12,800 employees of an expected 14,500 employees, and have exited 1.1 million net square feet of facilities of an expected 4.6 million net square feet. We are targeting the majority of the remaining workforce and all facility related cost reduction actions for completion during 2010 and 2011.

As previously disclosed, in September 2009, Pratt & Whitney announced plans to close a Connecticut repair facility by the second quarter of 2010 and a Connecticut engine overhaul facility by early 2011. The International Association of Machinists (IAM) subsequently filed a lawsuit in U.S. District Court alleging that Pratt & Whitney's decision to close these facilities and transfer certain work to other facilities breached the terms of its collective bargaining agreement with the IAM and seeking to enjoin Pratt & Whitney from moving the work for the duration of the collective bargaining agreement. In February 2010, the District Court issued a judgment enjoining Pratt & Whitney from closing the facilities and transferring the work for the duration of the current collective bargaining agreement, which expires on December 5, 2010. Pratt & Whitney subsequently appealed the decision. On July 8, 2010, the Second Circuit Court of Appeals upheld the District Court's decision. Pratt & Whitney is reviewing this decision and considering its impact on Pratt & Whitney's operations. Pratt & Whitney recorded \$53 million of restructuring costs in 2009 and \$5 million of restructuring costs in 2010 associated with these planned closures. We do not believe that resolution of this matter will have a material adverse effect upon our competitive position, results of operations, cash flows or financial condition.

The following table summarizes the accrual balances and utilization by cost type for the 2009 restructuring actions:

(in millions)	Severance	Asset Write-Downs	Facility Exit and Lease Termination Costs	Total
Restructuring accruals at March 31, 2010	\$ 228	\$ —	\$ 16	\$244
Net pre-tax restructuring costs	—	2	14	16
Utilization	(46)	(2)	(9)	(57)
Balance at June 30, 2010	<u>\$ 182</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 21</u>	<u>\$203</u>

The following table summarizes expected, incurred and remaining costs for the 2009 restructuring actions by type:

(in millions)	Severance	Asset Write-Downs	Facility Exit and Lease Termination Costs	Total
Expected costs	\$ 713	\$ 74	\$ 113	\$ 900
Costs incurred through December 31, 2009	(680)	(69)	(53)	(802)
Costs incurred - quarter ended March 31, 2010	(9)	(3)	(7)	(19)
Costs incurred - quarter ended June 30, 2010	—	(2)	(14)	(16)
Remaining costs at June 30, 2010	<u>\$ 24</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 39</u>	<u>\$ 63</u>

The following table summarizes expected, incurred and remaining costs for the 2009 restructuring actions by segment:

(in millions)	Expected Costs	Costs Incurred through December 31, 2009	Costs Incurred Quarter Ended March 31, 2010	Costs Incurred Quarter Ended June 30, 2010	Remaining Costs at June 30, 2010
Otis	\$ 162	\$ (157)	\$ —	\$ (2)	\$ 3
Carrier	235	(205)	(10)	(1)	19
UTC Fire & Security	116	(103)	(1)	(6)	6
Pratt & Whitney	211	(174)	(8)	(5)	24
Hamilton Sundstrand	103	(90)	—	(2)	11
Sikorsky	7	(7)	—	—	—
Eliminations and other	63	(63)	—	—	—
General corporate expenses	3	(3)	—	—	—
Total	<u>\$ 900</u>	<u>\$ (802)</u>	<u>\$ (19)</u>	<u>\$ (16)</u>	<u>\$ 63</u>

[Table of Contents](#)

2008 Actions. As of June 30, 2010, we have approximately \$28 million of accrual balances remaining related to 2008 actions.

Note 8: Financial Instruments

We enter into derivative instruments for risk management purposes only, including derivatives designated as hedging instruments under the Derivatives and Hedging Topic of the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) and those utilized as economic hedges. We operate internationally and, in the normal course of business, are exposed to fluctuations in interest rates, foreign exchange rates and commodity prices. These fluctuations can increase the costs of financing, investing and operating the business. We have used derivative instruments, including swaps, forward contracts and options to manage certain foreign currency, interest rate and commodity price exposures.

By nature, all financial instruments involve market and credit risks. We enter into derivative and other financial instruments with major investment grade financial institutions and have policies to monitor the credit risk of those counterparties. We limit counterparty exposure and concentration of risk by diversifying counterparties. While there can be no assurance, we do not anticipate any material non-performance by any of these counterparties.

Foreign Currency Forward Contracts. We manage our foreign currency transaction risks to acceptable limits through the use of derivatives that hedge forecasted cash flows associated with foreign currency transaction exposures, which are accounted for as cash flow hedges, as deemed appropriate. To the extent these derivatives are effective in offsetting the variability of the hedged cash flows, and otherwise meet the hedge accounting criteria of the Derivatives and Hedging Topic of the FASB ASC, the changes in the derivatives' fair values are not included in current earnings but are included in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss. These changes in fair value will subsequently be reclassified into earnings as a component of product sales or expenses, as applicable, when the forecasted transaction occurs. To the extent that a previously designated hedging transaction is no longer an effective hedge, any ineffectiveness measured in the hedging relationship is recorded currently in earnings in the period it occurs.

To the extent the hedge accounting criteria are not met, the foreign currency forward contracts are utilized as economic hedges and changes in the fair value of these contracts are recorded currently in earnings in the period in which they occur. These include hedges that are used to reduce exchange rate risks arising from the change in fair value of certain foreign currency denominated assets and liabilities (e.g. payables, receivables) and other economic hedges where the hedge accounting criteria were not met.

The four quarter rolling average of the notional amount of foreign exchange contracts hedging foreign currency transactions was \$8.8 billion and \$9.0 billion at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively.

Commodity Forward Contracts. We enter into commodity forward contracts to reduce the risk of fluctuations in the price we pay for certain commodities (e.g., nickel) which are used directly in the production of our products, or are components of the products we procure to use in the production of our products. These hedges are economic hedges and the changes in fair value of these contracts are recorded currently in earnings in the period in which they occur. The fair value and outstanding notional amount of contracts hedging commodity exposures were insignificant at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively.

The following table summarizes the fair value of derivative instruments as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009:

(in millions)	<u>Balance Sheet Asset Location</u>	<u>June 30, 2010</u>	<u>December 31, 2009</u>
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:			
Foreign Exchange Contracts	Other assets, current	\$ 84	\$ 107
Foreign Exchange Contracts	Other assets	11	33
		<u>95</u>	<u>140</u>
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:			
Foreign Exchange Contracts	Other assets, current	49	113
Foreign Exchange Contracts	Other assets	4	5
		<u>53</u>	<u>118</u>
Total Asset Derivative Contracts		<u>\$ 148</u>	<u>\$ 258</u>
	<u>Balance Sheet Liability Location</u>		
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:			
Foreign Exchange Contracts	Accrued liabilities	\$ 32	\$ 31
Foreign Exchange Contracts	Other long-term liabilities	21	4
		<u>53</u>	<u>35</u>
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:			
Foreign Exchange Contracts	Accrued liabilities	51	106
Foreign Exchange Contracts	Other long-term liabilities	3	3
		<u>54</u>	<u>109</u>
Total Liability Derivative Contracts		<u>\$ 107</u>	<u>\$ 144</u>

[Table of Contents](#)

The impact from foreign exchange derivative instruments that qualified as cash flow hedges was as follows:

(in millions)	Quarter Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
(Loss) gain recorded in Accumulated other comprehensive loss	\$ (74)	\$ 135	\$ (19)	\$ 2
Gain (loss) reclassified from Accumulated other comprehensive loss into				
Product sales (effective portion)	18	(39)	41	(99)
Loss recognized in Other income, net on derivatives (ineffective portion)	—	—	—	(5)

Assuming current market conditions continue, a \$69 million pre-tax gain is expected to be reclassified from Accumulated other comprehensive loss into Product sales to reflect the fixed prices obtained from foreign exchange hedging within the next 12 months. At June 30, 2010, all derivative contracts accounted for as cash flow hedges mature by May 2012.

The effect on the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations from foreign exchange contracts not designated as hedging instruments was as follows:

(in millions)	Quarter Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
(Loss) gain recognized in Other income, net	\$ (20)	\$ 33	\$ (24)	\$ (48)

Fair Value Disclosure. The Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures Topic of the FASB ASC defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands the related disclosure requirements. The Topic indicates, among other things, that a fair value measurement assumes that the transaction to sell an asset or transfer a liability occurs in the principal market for the asset or liability or, in the absence of a principal market, the most advantageous market for the asset or liability, and also defines fair value based upon an exit price model.

As of June 30, 2010, we had certain non-recurring measurements resulting in asset impairment charges of approximately \$86 million related primarily to the expected disposition of certain businesses within both Carrier and Hamilton Sundstrand. For additional discussion refer to Note 1 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Valuation Hierarchy. The Fair Value Measurements and Disclosure Topic of the FASB ASC establishes a valuation hierarchy for disclosure of the inputs to the valuations used to measure fair value. This hierarchy prioritizes the inputs into three broad levels as follows. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. Level 2 inputs are quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar assets in markets that are not active, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability, including interest rates, yield curves and credit risks, or inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data through correlation. Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs based on our own assumptions used to measure assets and liabilities at fair value. A financial asset or liability's classification within the hierarchy is determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

In January 2010, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2010-6, "Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements," which requires interim disclosures regarding significant transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements. Additionally, this ASU requires disclosure for each class of assets and liabilities and disclosures about the valuation techniques and inputs used to measure fair value for both recurring and non-recurring fair value measurements. These disclosures are required for fair value measurements that fall in either Level 2 or Level 3. Further, the ASU requires separate presentation of Level 3 activity for the fair value measurements. We adopted the interim disclosure requirements under this Topic during the quarter ended March 31, 2010, with the exception of the separate presentation in the Level 3 activity rollforward, which is not effective until fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010 and for interim periods within those fiscal years.

Table of Contents

The following table provides the assets and liabilities carried at fair value measured on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009:

(in millions)	Total Carrying Value at June 30, 2010	Quoted price in active markets (Level 1)	Significant other observable inputs (Level 2)	Unobservable inputs (Level 3)
Available-for-sale securities	\$ 654	\$ 654	\$ —	\$ —
Derivative assets	148	—	148	—
Derivative liabilities	107	—	107	—

(in millions)	Total Carrying Value at December 31, 2009	Quoted price in active markets (Level 1)	Significant other observable inputs (Level 2)	Unobservable inputs (Level 3)
Available-for-sale securities	\$ 664	\$ 664	\$ —	\$ —
Derivative assets	258	—	258	—
Derivative liabilities	144	—	144	—

Valuation Techniques. Our available for sale securities include equity investments that are traded in active markets, either domestically or internationally. They are measured at fair value using closing stock prices from active markets and are classified within Level 1 of the valuation hierarchy. Our derivative assets and liabilities include foreign exchange contracts and commodity derivatives that are measured at fair value using internal models based on observable market inputs such as forward rates, interest rates, our own credit risk and our counterparties' credit risks. Based on these inputs, the derivative assets and liabilities are classified within Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy. Based on our continued ability to trade securities and enter into forward contracts, we consider the markets for our fair value instruments to be active. As of June 30, 2010, there were no significant transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2.

As of June 30, 2010, there has not been any significant impact to the fair value of our derivative liabilities due to our own credit risk. Similarly, there has not been any significant adverse impact to our derivative assets based on our evaluation of our counterparties' credit risks.

The following table provides carrying amounts and fair values of financial instruments that are not carried at fair value at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009:

(in millions)	June 30, 2010		December 31, 2009	
	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Fair Value
Long-term receivables	\$ 307	\$ 279	\$ 430	\$ 408
Customer financing notes receivable	315	249	350	264
Long-term debt (excluding capitalized leases)	(10,589)	(12,076)	(9,442)	(10,361)

The above fair values were computed based on comparable transactions, quoted market prices, discounted future cash flows or an estimate of the amount to be received or paid to terminate or settle the agreement, as applicable. Differences from carrying amounts are attributable to interest and or credit rate changes subsequent to when the transaction occurred. The fair values of Cash and cash equivalents, Accounts receivable, net, Short-term borrowings, and Accounts payable approximate the carrying amounts due to the short-term maturities of these instruments.

We have outstanding financing and rental commitments totaling \$875 million at June 30, 2010. Risks associated with changes in interest rates on these commitments are mitigated by the fact that interest rates are variable during the commitment term and are set at the date of funding based on current market conditions, the fair value of the underlying collateral and the credit worthiness of the customers. As a result, the fair value of these financings is expected to equal the amounts funded. The fair value of the commitments themselves are not readily determinable and are not considered significant.

[Table of Contents](#)

Note 9: Shareowners' Equity and Noncontrolling Interest

A summary of the changes in Shareowners' Equity and Noncontrolling interest comprising total equity for the quarters and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 is provided below:

(in millions)	Quarter Ended June 30,					
	2010			2009		
	Shareowners' Equity	Noncontrolling Interest	Total Equity	Shareowners' Equity	Noncontrolling Interest	Total Equity
Equity, beginning of period	\$ 19,964	\$ 960	\$20,924	\$ 15,667	\$ 894	\$16,561
Comprehensive income for the period:						
Net income	1,110	102	1,212	976	90	1,066
Other comprehensive (loss) income:						
Foreign currency translation, net	(447)	(25)	(472)	749	9	758
(Decreases) increases in unrealized gains from available-for-sale securities, net	(27)	—	(27)	49	—	49
Cash flow hedging (losses) gains	(68)	—	(68)	124	—	124
Change in pension and post-retirement benefit plans, net	50	—	50	26	—	26
Total other comprehensive (loss) income	(492)	(25)	(517)	948	9	957
Total comprehensive income for the period	618	77	695	1,924	99	2,023
Common Stock issued under employee plans	139	—	139	149	—	149
Common Stock repurchased	(660)	—	(660)	(150)	—	(150)
Common Stock contributed to pension plans	250	—	250	—	—	—
Dividends on Common Stock	(371)	—	(371)	(340)	—	(340)
Dividends on ESOP Common Stock	(15)	—	(15)	(15)	—	(15)
Dividends attributable to noncontrolling interest	—	(58)	(58)	—	(107)	(107)
Purchase of subsidiary shares from noncontrolling interest	—	(3)	(3)	—	—	—
Acquired noncontrolling interest	—	4	4	—	3	3
Redeemable noncontrolling interest in subsidiaries' earnings	—	(10)	(10)	—	(4)	(4)
Redeemable noncontrolling interest in total other comprehensive (loss) income	—	12	12	—	(34)	(34)
Change in redemption value of put options	8	—	8	1	—	1
Equity, end of period	\$ 19,933	\$ 982	\$20,915	\$ 17,236	\$ 851	\$18,087

Table of Contents

(in millions)	Six Months Ended June 30,					
	2010			2009		
	Shareowners' Equity	Noncontrolling Interest	Total Equity	Shareowners' Equity	Noncontrolling Interest	Total Equity
Equity, beginning of period	\$ 20,066	\$ 933	\$20,999	\$ 15,763	\$ 918	\$16,681
Comprehensive income for the period:						
Net income	1,976	183	2,159	1,698	167	1,865
Other comprehensive (loss) income:						
Foreign currency translation, net	(797)	(48)	(845)	464	(26)	438
Increases in unrealized gains from available-for-sale securities, net	8	—	8	30	—	30
Cash flow hedging (losses) gains	(43)	—	(43)	72	—	72
Change in pension and post-retirement benefit plans, net	81	—	81	76	—	76
Total other comprehensive (loss) income	(751)	(48)	(799)	642	(26)	616
Total comprehensive income for the period	1,225	135	1,360	2,340	141	2,481
Common Stock issued under employee plans	326	—	326	220	—	220
Common Stock repurchased	(1,160)	—	(1,160)	(350)	—	(350)
Common Stock contributed to pension plans	250	—	250	—	—	—
Dividends on Common Stock	(744)	—	(744)	(679)	—	(679)
Dividends on ESOP Common Stock	(31)	—	(31)	(30)	—	(30)
Dividends attributable to noncontrolling interest	—	(147)	(147)	—	(191)	(191)
Purchase of subsidiary shares from noncontrolling interest	(2)	(4)	(6)	(27)	(10)	(37)
Sale of subsidiary shares in noncontrolling interest	—	27	27	—	—	—
Acquired noncontrolling interest	—	29	29	—	17	17
Redeemable noncontrolling interest in subsidiaries' earnings	—	(16)	(16)	—	(8)	(8)
Redeemable noncontrolling interest in total other comprehensive (loss) income	—	25	25	—	(16)	(16)
Change in redemption value of put options	3	—	3	(1)	—	(1)
Equity, end of period	\$ 19,933	\$ 982	\$20,915	\$ 17,236	\$ 851	\$18,087

During 2009, we adopted the FASB ASU for redeemable equity instruments, applicable for all noncontrolling interests with redemption features, such as put options, that are not solely within our control (redeemable noncontrolling interests). The standards require redeemable noncontrolling interests to be reported in the mezzanine section of the balance sheet, between liabilities and equity, at the greater of redemption value or initial carrying value. As a result of this adoption, we have retroactively reclassified "Redeemable noncontrolling interests" in the mezzanine section of the balance sheet and have increased them to redemption value, where required, resulting in a \$319 million reclassification from total equity at June 30, 2009.

Table of Contents

A summary of the changes in Redeemable noncontrolling interest recorded in the mezzanine section of the balance sheet for the quarters and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 is provided below:

(in millions)	Quarter Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Redeemable noncontrolling interest, beginning of period	\$ 377	\$ 225	\$ 389	\$ 245
Net income	10	4	16	8
Foreign currency translation, net	(12)	34	(25)	16
Dividends attributable to noncontrolling interest	(3)	(1)	(13)	(9)
Acquired noncontrolling interest	12	58	12	58
Purchase of subsidiary shares from noncontrolling interest	(65)	—	(65)	—
Change in redemption value of put options	(8)	(1)	(3)	1
Redeemable noncontrolling interest, end of period	<u>\$ 311</u>	<u>\$ 319</u>	<u>\$ 311</u>	<u>\$ 319</u>

Consistent with the requirements under the Business Combinations Topic of the FASB ASC and the accounting for noncontrolling interests in consolidated financial statements, changes in noncontrolling interests that do not result in a change of control and where there is a difference between fair value and carrying value are accounted for as equity transactions. A summary of these changes in ownership interests in subsidiaries and the effect on shareowners' equity for the quarters and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 is provided below:

(in millions)	Quarter Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Net income attributable to common shareowners	\$ 1,110	\$ 976	\$ 1,976	\$ 1,698
Transfers to noncontrolling interests - Decrease in common stock for purchase of subsidiary shares	—	—	(2)	(27)
Change from net income attributable to common shareowners and transfers to noncontrolling interests	<u>\$ 1,110</u>	<u>\$ 976</u>	<u>\$ 1,974</u>	<u>\$ 1,671</u>

Note 10: Guarantees

We extend a variety of financial, market value and product performance guarantees to third parties. There have been no material changes to guarantees outstanding since December 31, 2009.

The changes in the carrying amount of service and product warranties and product performance guarantees for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 are as follows:

(in millions)	2010	2009
Balance as of January 1	\$ 1,072	\$ 1,136
Warranties and performance guarantees issued	189	170
Settlements made	(174)	(202)
Other	2	(26)
Balance as of June 30	<u>\$ 1,089</u>	<u>\$ 1,078</u>

Note 11: Collaborative Arrangements

In view of the risks and costs associated with developing new engines, Pratt & Whitney has entered into certain collaboration arrangements in which costs, revenues and risks are shared. Revenues generated from engine programs, spare parts sales, and aftermarket business under collaboration arrangements are recorded as earned in our financial statements. Amounts attributable to our collaborative partners for their share of revenues are recorded as an expense in our financial statements based upon the terms and nature of the arrangement. Costs associated with engine programs under collaborative arrangements are expensed as incurred. Under these arrangements, collaborators contribute their program share of engine parts, incur their own production costs and make certain payments to Pratt & Whitney for shared or joint program costs. The reimbursement of the collaborator's share of program costs is recorded as a reduction of the related expense item at that time. As of June 30, 2010, the collaborators' interests in all commercial engine programs ranged from 12 percent to 48 percent. Pratt & Whitney directs those programs and is the principal participant in all existing collaborative arrangements. There are no individually significant collaborative arrangements and none of the partners exceed 31 percent share in an individual program.

Note 12: Contingent Liabilities

Summarized below are the matters previously described in Note 16 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in our 2009 Annual Report, incorporated by reference in our 2009 Form 10-K, updated as applicable.

Environmental. Our operations are subject to environmental regulation by federal, state and local authorities in the United States and regulatory authorities with jurisdiction over our foreign operations. We accrue for the costs of environmental investigatory, remediation, operating and maintenance costs when it is probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount can be reasonably estimated. The most likely cost to be incurred is accrued based on an evaluation of currently available facts with respect to each individual site, including existing technology, current laws and regulations and prior remediation experience. Where no amount within a range of estimates is more likely, we accrue the minimum. For sites with multiple responsible parties, we consider our likely proportionate share of the anticipated remediation costs and the ability of the other parties to fulfill their obligations in establishing a provision for those costs. We discount liabilities with fixed or reliably determinable future cash payments. We do not reduce accrued environmental liabilities by potential insurance reimbursements. We periodically reassess these accrued amounts. We believe that the likelihood of incurring losses materially in excess of amounts accrued is remote.

Government. We are now, and believe that in light of the current U.S. government contracting environment we will continue to be, the subject of one or more U.S. government investigations. If we or one of our business units were charged with wrongdoing as a result of any of these investigations or other government investigations (including violations of certain environmental or export laws) the U.S. government could suspend us from bidding on or receiving awards of new U.S. government contracts pending the completion of legal proceedings. If convicted or found liable, the U.S. government could fine and debar us from new U.S. government contracting for a period generally not to exceed three years. The U.S. government could void any contracts found to be tainted by fraud.

Our contracts with the U.S. government are also subject to audits. Like many defense contractors, we have received audit reports, which recommend that certain contract prices should be reduced to comply with various government regulations. Some of these audit reports involve substantial amounts. We have made voluntary refunds in those cases we believe appropriate and continue to litigate certain other cases. In addition, we accrue for liabilities associated with those matters that are probable and can be reasonably estimated. The most likely settlement amount to be incurred is accrued based upon a range of estimates. Where no amount within a range of estimates is more likely, then we accrue the minimum amount.

As previously disclosed, the Department of Justice (DOJ) sued us in 1999 in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Ohio, claiming that Pratt & Whitney violated the civil False Claims Act and common law. This lawsuit relates to the "Fighter Engine Competition" between Pratt & Whitney's F100 engine and General Electric's F110 engine. The DOJ alleges that the government overpaid for F100 engines under contracts awarded by the U.S. Air Force in fiscal years 1985 through 1990 because Pratt & Whitney inflated its estimated costs for some purchased parts and withheld data that would have revealed the overstatements. At trial of this matter, completed in December 2004, the government claimed Pratt & Whitney's liability to be \$624 million. On August 1, 2008, the trial court judge held that the Air Force had not suffered any actual damages because Pratt & Whitney had made significant price concessions. However, the trial court judge found that Pratt & Whitney violated the False Claims Act due to inaccurate statements contained in the 1983 offer. In the absence of actual damages, the trial court judge awarded the DOJ the maximum civil penalty of \$7.09 million, or \$10,000 for each of the 709 invoices Pratt & Whitney submitted in 1989 and later under the contracts. Both the DOJ and UTC have appealed the decision. Should the government ultimately prevail, the outcome of this matter could result in a material effect on our results of operations in the period in which a liability would be recognized or cash flows for the period in which damages would be paid.

As previously disclosed, in December 2008, the Department of Defense (DOD) issued a contract claim against Sikorsky to recover overpayments the DOD alleges it has incurred since 2003 in connection with cost accounting changes approved by the DOD and implemented by Sikorsky in 1999 and 2006. These changes relate to the calculation of material overhead rates in government contracts. The DOD claimed that Sikorsky's liability is approximately \$86 million (including interest through June 2010). We believe this claim is without merit and Sikorsky filed an appeal in December 2009 with the U.S. Court of Federal Claims.

Except as otherwise noted above, we do not believe that resolution of any of these matters will have a material adverse effect upon our competitive position, results of operations, cash flows or financial condition.

Other. We extend performance and operating cost guarantees beyond our normal warranty and service policies for extended periods on some of our products. We have accrued our estimate of the liability that may result under these guarantees and for service costs that are probable and can be reasonably estimated.

[Table of Contents](#)

We have accrued for environmental investigatory, remediation, operating and maintenance costs, performance guarantees and other litigation and claims based on our estimate of the probable outcome of these matters. While it is possible that the outcome of these matters may differ from the recorded liability, we believe that resolution of these matters will not have a material impact on our competitive position, results of operations, cash flows or financial condition.

We also have other commitments and contingent liabilities related to legal proceedings, self-insurance programs and matters arising out of the normal course of business. We accrue contingencies based upon a range of possible outcomes. If no amount within this range is a better estimate than any other, then we accrue the minimum amount.

Note 13: Segment Financial Data

Our operations are classified into six principal segments: Otis, Carrier, UTC Fire & Security, Pratt & Whitney, Hamilton Sundstrand and Sikorsky. The segments are generally based on the management structure of the businesses and the grouping of similar operating companies, where each management organization has general operating autonomy over diversified products and services.

Results for the quarters and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 are as follows:

Quarter Ended June 30, (in millions)	Revenues		Operating Profits		Operating Profit Margins	
	2010	2009	2010	2009	2010	2009
Otis	\$ 2,837	\$ 2,952	\$ 641	\$ 631	22.6%	21.4%
Carrier	3,124	3,100	333	260	10.7%	8.4%
UTC Fire & Security	1,619	1,330	168	55	10.4%	4.1%
Pratt & Whitney	3,298	3,111	522	467	15.8%	15.0%
Hamilton Sundstrand	1,387	1,402	204	187	14.7%	13.3%
Sikorsky	1,691	1,389	169	133	10.0%	9.6%
Total segments	13,956	13,284	2,037	1,733	14.6%	13.0%
Eliminations and other	(66)	(88)	(19)	(7)		
General corporate expenses	—	—	(93)	(89)		
Consolidated	<u>\$13,890</u>	<u>\$13,196</u>	<u>\$1,925</u>	<u>\$1,637</u>	<u>13.9%</u>	<u>12.4%</u>
Six Months Ended June 30, (in millions)	Revenues		Operating Profits		Operating Profit Margins	
	2010	2009	2010	2009	2010	2009
Otis	\$ 5,569	\$ 5,617	\$1,237	\$1,137	22.2%	20.2%
Carrier	5,564	5,587	472	282	8.5%	5.0%
UTC Fire & Security	3,038	2,616	291	148	9.6%	5.7%
Pratt & Whitney	6,190	6,291	958	903	15.5%	14.4%
Hamilton Sundstrand	2,728	2,783	425	379	15.6%	13.6%
Sikorsky	3,057	2,723	314	249	10.3%	9.1%
Total segments	26,146	25,617	3,697	3,098	14.1%	12.1%
Eliminations and other	(165)	(172)	(64)	(44)		
General corporate expenses	—	—	(170)	(167)		
Consolidated	<u>\$25,981</u>	<u>\$25,445</u>	<u>\$3,463</u>	<u>\$2,887</u>	<u>13.3%</u>	<u>11.3%</u>

See Note 7 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for a discussion of restructuring and other costs included in segment operating results.

Note 14: Accounting Pronouncements

In October 2009, the FASB issued ASU No. 2009-13, "Multiple-Deliverable Revenue Arrangements." This ASU establishes the accounting and reporting guidance for arrangements including multiple revenue-generating activities. This ASU provides amendments to the criteria for separating deliverables, measuring and allocating arrangement consideration to one or more units of

[Table of Contents](#)

accounting. The amendments in this ASU also establish a selling price hierarchy for determining the selling price of a deliverable. Significantly enhanced disclosures are also required to provide information about a vendor's multiple-deliverable revenue arrangements, including information about the nature and terms, significant deliverables, and its performance within arrangements. The amendments also require disclosure of the significant judgments made and changes to those judgments and how the application of the relative selling-price method affects the timing or amount of revenue recognition. The amendments in this ASU are effective prospectively for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified in the fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010. Early application is permitted. We have evaluated this new ASU and have determined that it will not have a significant impact on the determination or reporting of our financial results.

In October 2009, the FASB issued ASU No. 2009-14, "Certain Revenue Arrangements That Include Software Elements." This ASU changes the accounting model for revenue arrangements that include both tangible products and software elements that are "essential to the functionality," and scopes these products out of current software revenue guidance. The new guidance will include factors to help companies determine what software elements are considered "essential to the functionality." The amendments will now subject software-enabled products to other revenue guidance and disclosure requirements, such as guidance surrounding revenue arrangements with multiple-deliverables. The amendments in this ASU are effective prospectively for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified in the fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010. Early application is permitted. We have evaluated this new ASU and have determined that it will not have a significant impact on the determination or reporting of our financial results.

In April 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-17, "Milestone Method of Revenue Recognition." This ASU allows entities to make a policy election to use the milestone method of revenue recognition and provides guidance on defining a milestone and guidance on the criteria that should be met for applying the milestone method. The scope of this ASU is limited to the transactions involving milestones relating to research and development deliverables. The guidance includes enhanced disclosure requirements about each arrangement, individual milestones and related contingent consideration, information about substantive milestones and factors considered in the determination. The amendments in this ASU are effective prospectively to milestones achieved in fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after June 15, 2010. Early application and retrospective application are permitted. We have evaluated this new ASU and have determined that it will not have a significant impact on the determination or reporting of our financial results.

[Table of Contents](#)

With respect to the unaudited condensed consolidated financial information of UTC for the quarters ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP (PricewaterhouseCoopers) reported that it has applied limited procedures in accordance with professional standards for a review of such information. However, its report dated July 26, 2010, appearing below, states that the firm did not audit and does not express an opinion on that unaudited condensed consolidated financial information. PricewaterhouseCoopers has not carried out any significant or additional audit tests beyond those that would have been necessary if their report had not been included. Accordingly, the degree of reliance on its report on such information should be restricted in light of the limited nature of the review procedures applied. PricewaterhouseCoopers is not subject to the liability provisions of Section 11 of the Securities Act of 1933 (the Act) for its report on the unaudited condensed consolidated financial information because that report is not a “report” or a “part” of a registration statement prepared or certified by PricewaterhouseCoopers within the meaning of Sections 7 and 11 of the Act.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Shareowners of United Technologies Corporation:

We have reviewed the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet of United Technologies Corporation and its subsidiaries (the “Corporation”) as of June 30, 2010, and the related condensed consolidated statement of operations for the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 and the condensed consolidated statement of cash flows for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2010 and 2009. This interim financial information is the responsibility of the Corporation’s management.

We conducted our review in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our review, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to the accompanying condensed consolidated interim financial information for it to be in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We previously audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2009, and the related consolidated statements of operations, of cash flows and of changes in equity for the year then ended (not presented herein), and in our report dated February 11, 2010 (which included an explanatory paragraph with respect to the Corporation’s change in the manner of accounting for defined benefit pension and other postretirement plans, uncertain tax positions, business combinations, noncontrolling interests and collaborative arrangements and the manner in which it discloses fair value, derivative and hedging activities, subsequent events and fair value of financial instruments), we expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements. In our opinion, the information set forth in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2009, is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the consolidated balance sheet from which it has been derived.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

Hartford, Connecticut
July 26, 2010

Item 2. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

BUSINESS OVERVIEW

We conduct our business through six principal segments: Otis, Carrier, UTC Fire & Security, Pratt & Whitney, Hamilton Sundstrand and Sikorsky. Otis, Carrier and UTC Fire & Security are collectively referred to as the “commercial businesses,” while Pratt & Whitney, Hamilton Sundstrand and Sikorsky are collectively referred to as the “aerospace businesses.” The current status of significant factors impacting our business environment in 2010 is discussed below. For additional discussion, refer to the “Business Overview” section in Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in our 2009 Annual Report, which is incorporated by reference in our 2009 Form 10-K.

General

As worldwide businesses, our operations can be affected by industrial, economic and political factors on both a regional and global level. To limit the impact of any one industry, or the economy of any single country on our consolidated operating results, our strategy has been, and continues to be, the maintenance of a balanced and diversified portfolio of businesses. Our businesses include both commercial and aerospace operations, original equipment manufacturing (OEM) and extensive related aftermarket parts and services businesses, as well as the combination of shorter cycles in our commercial businesses, particularly Carrier, and longer cycles in our aerospace businesses. Our customers include companies in the private sector and governments, and our businesses reflect an extensive geographic diversification that has evolved with the continued globalization of world economies.

The global economic downturn we experienced throughout 2009 has shown signs of improvement in the first half of 2010. While some economic indicators continue to trend positive, the overall rate of global recovery experienced to date has been modest and uncertainty over the sustainability of this recovery remains. Certain markets have experienced volatility, as evidenced by the protracted slide in 10-year U.S. Treasury yields and the significant fluctuations in the Euro during the second quarter of 2010. The availability of credit remains tight. Despite uncertainty as to the sustainability of the current recovery, we have seen broader improvement in the year-over-year order rates in the second quarter of 2010, with continued growth in the short-cycle Carrier and Hamilton Sundstrand industrial businesses. Commercial aerospace aftermarket orders have also improved sequentially over the first quarter of 2010, which is consistent with our expectation of growth in the second half of 2010. These order rate improvements positively impacted organic revenues, which grew on a consolidated basis for the first time since the fourth quarter of 2008. Order rates at our longer cycle businesses, such as Otis new equipment, Carrier commercial HVAC and certain businesses within UTC Fire & Security, also grew year-over-year in the quarter. Based on an improved business unit operational outlook, as compared to the first quarter of 2010, our full year expectation for organic revenue growth is approximately 2%; however, we expect some adverse impacts on earnings in the second half of 2010, particularly from foreign currency translation and higher research and development costs. Even with the improved end market environment experienced in the second quarter of 2010, we continue to focus on cost reduction to drive year-over-year margin expansion, including the continuation of restructuring initiatives initiated in late 2008.

Total revenues increased 5% in the second quarter of 2010, as compared to the same period of 2009. This reflects organic revenue growth (4%) and the beneficial impact of net acquisitions (1%). Along with the revenue increase, consolidated operating profit increased 18% in the second quarter of 2010, as compared with the same period of 2009. This year-over-year improvement reflects an increase in operational profit (8%) driven by the beneficial impact on operational results of our continued focus on cost reduction and previously initiated restructuring actions, contributions from net acquisitions (3%), and the impact of currency hedges net of foreign currency translation (net combined 2%) at Pratt & Whitney Canada (P&WC). This year-over-year improvement also reflects the beneficial impact of lower restructuring costs partially offset by the adverse impact of year-over-year non-recurring items, including the absence of a gain recorded at Otis in the second quarter of 2009 and the impact from asset impairment charges recorded at both Carrier and Hamilton Sundstrand, as further described below. To better position us for the future, we continue to focus on restructuring and cost reduction actions, ongoing portfolio transformation at Carrier, and efforts to implement low cost sourcing initiatives.

Although the U.S. dollar strengthened throughout the second quarter of 2010 against certain currencies such as the Euro, the impact of foreign currency generated a positive foreign currency impact of \$.03 per diluted share on our operational performance in the second quarter of 2010. This year-over-year impact primarily represents the beneficial impact of hedging which more than offset adverse foreign currency translation at P&WC. The relative weakness in the U.S. dollar adversely impacts P&WC operating results, as the majority of P&WC’s revenues are denominated in U.S. dollars, while a significant portion of its costs are incurred in local currencies. However, as a result of hedging programs in place, this adverse impact was more than offset by the beneficial impact on revenues of maturing hedges that were executed when the U.S. dollar was stronger.

Commercial Businesses

Our commercial businesses generally serve customers in the worldwide commercial and residential property industries, although Carrier also serves customers in the commercial and transport refrigeration industries. Revenues in the commercial

Table of Contents

businesses are influenced by a number of external factors including fluctuations in residential and commercial construction activity, regulatory changes, interest rates, labor costs, foreign currency exchange rates, customer attrition, raw material and energy costs, tightening credit markets and other global and political factors. Carrier's financial performance can also be influenced by production and utilization of transport equipment, and for its residential business, weather conditions. To ensure adequate supply of Carrier products in the distribution channel, Carrier customarily offers its customers incentives to purchase products.

Although organic revenue contracted at both Otis and UTC Fire & Security, Carrier's revenues grew organically in the second quarter of 2010 and we continue to see improvement in the growth in order rates in Carrier's short-cycle businesses. We are also encouraged by the improvement in order growth in our longer cycle commercial businesses, such as Otis new equipment, Carrier commercial HVAC, and certain businesses within UTC Fire & Security. Emerging markets continue to perform well, with particular strength in India and Brazil where combined orders for the commercial business units grew by over 25% in the second quarter year-over-year. Similarly, in China, orders grew over the prior year, but as expected, growth rates in China have begun to slow as year-over-year comparisons become more difficult in light of the strong growth experienced in the prior year. Although the growth in order rates across the businesses is based on low prior year comparisons, it nonetheless indicates improvements to key markets. While the U.S. housing market remained weak in the first half of 2010, it has shown improvement over the depressed levels experienced in 2009.

Within the Otis segment, revenues decreased 1% in the first half of 2010 as lower volume was mostly offset by the favorable impact of foreign currency translation. Volume was negatively impacted by a weaker opening new equipment backlog. Aggressive cost reduction actions and continued strength in the contractual maintenance business helped to mitigate the new equipment volume decline. New equipment orders increased 11% in the first half of 2010, as compared to the same period of 2009, primarily due to the strong order growth in China and the favorable impact of foreign currency translation. Following a two year decline, orders in North America grew in the second quarter of 2010. Pricing remained under pressure in all of Otis' major markets, which is expected to continue through the remainder of 2010.

Carrier experienced organic revenue growth of 7% in the second quarter of 2010, as compared to the same period of 2009, as certain businesses are benefiting from strengthening market conditions, particularly in the transport refrigeration and U.S. residential systems markets, while overall market conditions across Europe remain weak. We continue to see improvement in order rate growth in Carrier's short-cycle businesses, particularly within transport refrigeration, which has experienced a significant increase in organic orders. Carrier also continued its transformation to a higher returns business, as evidenced by very strong operating profit margin expansion in the second quarter of 2010.

UTC Fire & Security experienced a 22% revenue increase in the second quarter of 2010, as compared to the same period of 2009, driven by the impact of net acquisitions (25%), principally GE Security, partially offset by an organic revenue contraction. Although organic revenues declined in the second quarter of 2010, organic orders grew year-over-year for the first time since the second quarter of 2008, including order growth in Asia, as well as in the product businesses.

Aerospace Businesses

The aerospace businesses serve both commercial and government aerospace customers. In addition, elements of Pratt & Whitney and Hamilton Sundstrand also serve customers in the industrial markets. Revenue passenger miles (RPMs), U.S. government military and space spending, and the general economic health of airline carriers are all barometers for our aerospace businesses. Performance in the general aviation sector is closely tied to the overall health of the economy and is positively correlated to corporate profits.

Aerospace markets showed modest signs of recovery in the first half of 2010. Airline operating results have been encouraging as they continue to focus on improving their finances through cost reduction actions and cash conservation measures. Airline traffic trended favorably in the second quarter of 2010 and, as a result, we expect RPM's to grow approximately 5% worldwide in 2010. Orders of shorter cycle commercial aerospace spares grew year-over-year in the second quarter of 2010, with 8% growth in Pratt & Whitney's large commercial spares orders and a 7% growth in Hamilton Sundstrand's commercial spares orders. The recent improvement in air traffic trends and order rates are in line with our expectation of higher commercial aerospace aftermarket revenues in the second half of 2010. The lingering effects of the economic downturn continue to contribute to a low demand for business and general aviation aircraft, and as a result P&WC engine shipments declined 13% in the second quarter of 2010, as compared to the same period of the prior year, although engine shipments increased 8% from the first quarter of 2010. With the general economic recovery, the level of engine shipments at P&WC is expected to increase as the year progresses, while spares orders are expected to be flat for the full year of 2010 as compared with 2009.

Although the commercial aircraft market remains a challenge, higher military aircraft deliveries, as a result of continued government spending, drove a 22% increase in Sikorsky's second quarter revenues as compared to the same period of 2009. With the robust government demand, Sikorsky's military backlog remains very strong.

As previously disclosed, Sikorsky engaged in discussions with the Canadian government concerning an anticipated delay in completing certain elements of the specification for interim aircraft to be delivered under a contract for the development, production,

Table of Contents

and logistical support of 28 CH-148 helicopters. Pursuant to those discussions, the parties signed contract amendments in June 2010 that provide the requirements for the six interim aircraft scheduled to be delivered beginning in November 2010 and which will allow for commencement of initial operational test and evaluation activities prior to the scheduled delivery of final configuration helicopters starting in June 2012. The amendments also include modifications to the liquidated damages schedule, readjustment of payment schedules, resolution of open disputes and other program enhancements.

Acquisition and Disposition Activity

Our growth strategy contemplates acquisitions. Our operations and results can be affected by the rate and extent to which appropriate acquisition opportunities are available, acquired businesses are effectively integrated, and anticipated synergies or cost savings are achieved. During the first six months of 2010, our investment in business acquisitions was approximately \$2.4 billion, including debt assumed of \$32 million, and principally reflected the acquisition of the GE Security business, and the acquisition of an equity stake in Clipper Windpower Plc (Clipper). The remainder of our investment in businesses for the first six months of 2010 consisted of a number of small acquisitions in both our commercial and aerospace businesses. We recorded the excess of the purchase price over the estimated fair value of the assets acquired as an increase in goodwill. As a result of acquisition activity, goodwill increased approximately \$1.2 billion in the first six months of 2010.

On March 1, 2010, we completed the acquisition of the GE Security business for approximately \$1.8 billion, including debt assumed of \$32 million. The GE Security business supplies security and fire safety technologies for commercial and residential applications through a broad product portfolio that includes fire detection and life safety systems, intrusion alarms, and video surveillance and access control systems. This business is being integrated into our UTC Fire & Security segment during the course of 2010 and will enhance UTC Fire & Security's geographic diversity with the strong North American presence and increased product and technology offerings of GE Security. We recorded \$1.1 billion of goodwill and approximately \$600 million of identified intangible assets in connection with this acquisition.

In January 2010, we completed the acquisition of a 49.5% equity stake in Clipper, a California-based wind turbine manufacturer that trades on the AIM London Stock Exchange. This investment is intended to expand our power generation portfolio and allow us to enter the wind power market by leveraging our expertise in blade technology, turbines and gearbox design. The total cost was £166 million (approximately \$270 million) for the purchase of 84.3 million newly issued shares and 21.8 million shares from existing shareowners. We have accounted for this investment under the equity method of accounting. Subsequent to the initial purchase, we increased our investment to 49.9%. Pursuant to our agreement with Clipper, we are prohibited from acquiring additional shares of Clipper within two years of the closing date that would result in an equity stake in excess of 49.9% without the prior approval of Clipper.

During the quarter ended June 30, 2010, we recorded approximately \$86 million of asset impairment charges, for assets that have met the held-for-sale criteria, related primarily to the expected disposition of businesses within both Carrier and Hamilton Sundstrand. These asset impairment charges are recorded within Cost of products sold on our Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations. The asset impairment charges include a \$58 million charge related to the expected disposition of a business associated with Carrier's ongoing portfolio transformation to a higher returns business and a \$28 million charge at Hamilton Sundstrand related primarily to the expected disposition of an aerospace business as part of Hamilton Sundstrand's efforts to implement low cost sourcing initiatives.

We expect to invest approximately \$3.0 billion in acquisitions for 2010, including those investments made during the first six months of 2010, although this will depend upon the timing, availability and the appropriate value of potential acquisition opportunities.

Other

Government legislation, policies and regulations can have a negative impact on our worldwide operations. Government regulation of refrigerants and energy efficiency standards, elevator safety codes and fire protection regulations are important to our commercial businesses. Government and market-driven safety and performance regulations, restrictions on aircraft engine noise and emissions and government procurement practices can impact our aerospace and defense businesses.

Commercial airline financial distress/consolidation, global economic conditions, changes in raw material and commodity prices, interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates and energy costs create uncertainties that could impact our earnings outlook for the remainder of 2010. See Part II, Item 1A, "Risk Factors" in this Form 10-Q for further discussion.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES

Preparation of our financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses. We believe the most complex and sensitive judgments, because of their significance to the Consolidated Financial Statements, result primarily from the need to make estimates about the effects of matters that are inherently uncertain. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Note 1 to

[Table of Contents](#)

the Consolidated Financial Statements in our 2009 Annual Report, incorporated by reference in our 2009 Form 10-K, describe the significant accounting estimates and policies used in preparation of the Consolidated Financial Statements. Actual results in these areas could differ from management's estimates. There have been no significant changes in our critical accounting estimates during the first six months of 2010.

RESULTS OF CONTINUING OPERATIONS

Revenues

(in millions)	Quarter Ended June 30,			Six Months Ended June 30,		
	2010	2009	% change	2010	2009	% change
Sales	\$ 13,802	\$ 13,060	5.7 %	\$ 25,842	\$ 25,259	2.3 %
Other income, net	88	136	(35.3)%	139	186	(25.3)%
Total revenues	<u>\$ 13,890</u>	<u>\$ 13,196</u>	<u>5.3 %</u>	<u>\$ 25,981</u>	<u>\$ 25,445</u>	<u>2.1 %</u>

The 5% revenue increase in the second quarter of 2010, as compared to the same period of 2009, reflects organic revenue growth (4%) and the beneficial impact from net acquisitions (1%). The net acquisitions impact reflects the benefit of recent acquisitions, principally GE Security, net of the impact of dispositions, primarily at Carrier associated with its ongoing portfolio transformation strategy. Organic revenue growth largely reflects an increase at Sikorsky, driven by higher military revenues, and growth at Carrier driven primarily by strength in the transport refrigeration and U.S. residential systems business. The organic revenue growth also reflects the year-over-year beneficial impact of currency hedges at P&WC. These organic revenue increases were partially offset by organic revenue contraction across other segments, primarily at Otis and UTC Fire & Security. The organic revenue decline at Otis is due to a decline in new equipment revenue, as continued growth in China was offset by declines in most other major geographic markets, partially offset by continued growth in the contractual maintenance business. Although total revenue grew at UTC Fire & Security, it was driven from the impact of the GE Security acquisition; the contraction in organic revenue primarily reflects a decline in the service and install business as a result of weaker market conditions.

The 2% revenue increase in the first six months of 2010, as compared to the same period of 2009, was attributable to the beneficial impact from foreign currency translation. Organic revenues were flat year-over-year reflecting an increase at both Sikorsky and Carrier, offset by declines across the rest of the businesses. The organic revenue growth at Sikorsky was driven by higher military revenues and growth at Carrier was driven primarily by strength in the transport refrigeration and U.S. residential systems businesses. Similar to the second quarter of 2010, the decline in organic revenues at Otis over the first six months of 2010 was due primarily to a decline in new equipment revenue, as continued growth in China was offset by declines in most other major geographic markets, with partial offset from continued growth in the contractual maintenance business. The organic contraction at UTC Fire & Security was a result of weaker market conditions impacting both the product and the service and install businesses. The revenue contraction at Pratt & Whitney largely reflects the continued depressed conditions in the business jet market and lower commercial aerospace aftermarket. The beneficial impact from recent acquisitions, principally GE Security, was mostly offset by the impact of dispositions associated with Carrier's ongoing portfolio transformation to a higher returns business.

The decline in Other income, net for the second quarter and first six months of 2010, as compared with the same period of 2009, primarily reflects the absence of a \$52 million non-taxable gain recognized at Otis in the second quarter of 2009. This prior year gain related to the re-measurement to fair value of a previously held equity interest in a joint venture as a result of the purchase of a controlling interest. The remaining year-over-year variance for both the second quarter and first six months of 2010 is primarily attributable to activity in the second quarter of 2010 related to a \$24 million favorable pre-tax interest adjustment associated with the resolution of an uncertain temporary tax item, partially offset by the impact from the early redemption of long-term debt that was due November 15, 2010.

Gross Margin

(in millions)	Quarter Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Gross margin	\$ 3,787	\$ 3,459	\$ 7,095	\$ 6,551
Percentage of sales	27.4%	26.5%	27.5%	25.9%

[Table of Contents](#)

The 90 basis point increase in gross margin as a percentage of sales in the second quarter of 2010, as compared to 2009, was primarily driven by increased volumes, continued focus on cost reduction, savings from previously initiated restructuring actions, net operational efficiencies and the beneficial impact from net acquisitions. The adverse impact of asset impairment charges (60 basis points) at both Carrier and Hamilton Sundstrand in the second quarter of 2010, primarily related to expected disposition activity, was mostly offset by the beneficial impact of lower year-over-year restructuring costs (50 basis points).

For the first six months of 2010, as compared with the same period of 2009, gross margin as a percentage of sales improved 160 basis points. This improvement was primarily driven by increased volumes, continued focus on cost reduction, savings from previously initiated restructuring actions, net operational efficiencies and the beneficial impact from net acquisitions. The beneficial impact of lower year-over-year restructuring charges (40 basis points) was mostly offset by the adverse impact of asset impairment charges (30 basis points) related to expected disposition activity in 2010 at both Carrier and Hamilton Sundstrand.

Research and Development

(in millions)	Quarter Ended June 30,				Six Months Ended June 30,			
	2010		2009		2010		2009	
	Amount	% of sales	Amount	% of sales	Amount	% of sales	Amount	% of sales
Company-funded	\$ 459	3.3%	\$ 384	2.9%	\$ 856	3.3%	\$ 793	3.1%
Customer-funded	485	3.5%	519	4.0%	977	3.8%	1,033	4.1%
Total	\$ 944	6.8%	\$ 903	6.9%	\$1,833	7.1%	\$1,826	7.2%

Research and development spending is subject to the variable nature of program development schedules and, therefore, year-over-year variations in spending levels are expected. The majority of the company-funded spending is incurred by the aerospace businesses and relates largely to the next generation product family at Pratt & Whitney, the Boeing 787 program at Hamilton Sundstrand, and various engine programs at P&WC. Company-funded research and development spending for the full year 2010 is expected to increase by more than \$150 million from 2009 levels primarily due to incremental investments in the next generation product family at Pratt & Whitney, including the PurePower PW1000G engine, and the impact of research and development activities at the recently acquired GE Security business within UTC Fire & Security.

The decrease in customer-funded research and development in the second quarter and first six months of 2010, compared to the same periods in 2009 was primarily driven by a decrease at Pratt & Whitney related to a reduction in development spending on the Joint Strike Fighter program partially offset by higher development spending on the CH-53K program at Sikorsky.

Selling, General and Administrative

(in millions)	Quarter Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Selling, general and administrative expenses	\$ 1,491	\$ 1,574	\$ 2,915	\$ 3,057
Percentage of sales	10.8%	12.1%	11.3%	12.1%

The decrease in selling, general and administrative expenses in the second quarter of 2010, as compared to the same period of 2009, is due primarily to a continued focus on cost reduction and the impact from restructuring and cost saving initiatives undertaken in 2009 in anticipation of adverse economic conditions. As a percentage of sales, the 130 basis point year-over-year decrease reflects the beneficial impact of lower year-over-year restructuring costs (110 basis points).

The decrease in selling, general and administrative expenses in the first six months of 2010, as compared to the same period of 2009, is due primarily to a continued focus on cost reduction and the impact from restructuring and cost saving initiatives undertaken in 2009 in anticipation of adverse economic conditions. As a percentage of sales, the 80 basis point year-over-year decrease primarily reflects the impact of lower restructuring costs.

Interest Expense

(in millions)	Quarter Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Interest expense	\$ 192	\$ 177	\$ 378	\$ 352
Average interest rate	5.8%	5.8%	5.9%	5.9%

The increase in interest expense in the second quarter of 2010 as compared to the same period of the prior year largely reflects the impact of higher average borrowings, partially offset by lower interest charges related to our deferred compensation plan. Interest expense on our long-term debt increased as a result of the issuance of two series of fixed rate long-term notes totaling \$2.25 billion in February 2010 (see further discussion in the “Liquidity and Financial Condition” section). This impact was partially offset by the absence of interest associated with the repayment in May 2010 of our \$600 million of 4.375% notes due 2010, the early redemption in June 2010 of our \$500 million of 7.125% notes due 2010, and the repayment in June 2009 of our \$400 million of 6.500% notes due 2009. Interest expense also reflects the lower cost associated with our commercial paper borrowings. Similar to the second quarter of 2010, the interest expense for the first six months of 2010, as compared to the same period of the prior year, reflects the impact of higher average borrowings.

Income Taxes

	Quarter Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Effective tax rate	30.0%	27.0%	30.0%	26.4%

The increase in the effective tax rate for the second quarter of 2010, as compared to the same period of 2009, reflects an overall increase in the forecasted effective tax rate, the net tax effects of asset impairment charges in 2010 and the absence of a non-taxable gain recorded in the same period of 2009. The effective tax rate for the six months ended June 30, 2010 increased as compared to the same period of 2009 as a result of an overall increase to the forecasted effective tax rate, the impact from health care legislation related to the Medicare Part D program in the first quarter of 2010, and the absence of a \$25 million tax benefit and non-taxable gain recorded in 2009. The effective tax rate for the balance of the year is expected to be approximately 29% before the impacts of any discrete events.

Net Income

(in millions, except per share amounts)	Quarter Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Net income	\$ 1,212	\$ 1,066	\$ 2,159	\$ 1,865
Less: Noncontrolling interest in subsidiaries' earnings	102	90	183	167
Net income attributable to common shareowners	\$ 1,110	\$ 976	\$ 1,976	\$ 1,698
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 1.20	\$ 1.05	\$ 2.13	\$ 1.83

Although the U.S. dollar strengthened throughout the second quarter of 2010 against certain currencies such as the Euro, the impact of foreign currency generated a positive foreign currency impact of \$.03 per diluted share on our operational performance in the second quarter of 2010. This year-over-year impact primarily represents the beneficial impact of hedging which more than offset adverse foreign currency translation at P&WC. At P&WC, the weakness of the U.S. dollar in the second quarter of 2010 generated an adverse foreign currency translation impact as the majority of P&WC's revenues are denominated in U.S. dollars, while a significant portion of its costs are incurred in local currencies. To help mitigate the volatility of foreign currency exchange rates on our operating results, we maintain foreign currency hedging programs, the majority of which are entered into by P&WC. As a result of hedging programs currently in place, P&WC's 2010 full year operating results will include a beneficial impact of foreign currency translation, net of hedging, of approximately \$125 million. For additional discussion of hedging, refer to Note 8 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. Diluted earnings per share for the second quarter of 2010 include a net charge of \$.12 per share from restructuring and non-recurring items. These non-recurring items primarily include the previously noted asset impairment charges at both Carrier and Hamilton Sundstrand, net of a favorable pretax interest adjustment associated with the resolution of an uncertain temporary tax item. We expect to initiate additional restructuring actions during the remainder of 2010. Including trailing costs from

[Table of Contents](#)

previously announced restructuring actions, we expect a net \$.20 charge to diluted earnings per share for anticipated restructuring costs and non-recurring items for the full year 2010. Except for those restructuring actions initiated during the first six months of 2010, no specific plans for significant other actions have been finalized at this time. Diluted earnings per share for the second quarter of 2010 were also favorably impacted by approximately \$.03 per share as a result of the shares repurchased since July 1, 2009 under our share repurchase program.

Restructuring and Other Costs

During the first six months of 2010, we recorded pre-tax restructuring and other costs totaling \$152 million for new and ongoing restructuring actions as follows:

<u>(in millions)</u>	
Otis	\$ 28
Carrier	33
UTC Fire & Security	29
Pratt & Whitney	35
Hamilton Sundstrand	9
Sikorsky	7
Eliminations and other	<u>11</u>
Total	<u>\$152</u>

The net costs included \$91 million recorded in cost of sales, \$60 million in selling, general and administrative expenses and \$1 million in other income, net. As described below, these costs primarily relate to actions initiated during 2010 and 2009.

2010 Actions. During the first six months of 2010, we initiated restructuring actions relating to ongoing cost reduction efforts, including workforce reductions and the consolidation of field operations. We recorded net pre-tax restructuring and other costs totaling \$121 million as follows: Otis \$26 million, Carrier \$25 million, UTC Fire & Security \$22 million, Pratt & Whitney \$23 million, Hamilton Sundstrand \$7 million, Sikorsky \$7 million and Eliminations and other \$11 million. The charges included \$68 million in cost of sales and \$53 million in selling, general and administrative expenses. Those costs included \$100 million for severance and related employee termination costs, \$12 million for asset write-downs and \$9 million for facility exit and lease termination costs.

We expect the 2010 actions that were initiated in the first six months to result in net workforce reductions of approximately 2,400 hourly and salaried employees, the exiting of approximately 2.8 million net square feet of facilities and the disposal of assets associated with the exited facilities. As of June 30, 2010, we have completed net workforce reductions of approximately 900 employees. We are targeting the majority of the remaining workforce and all facility related cost reduction actions for completion during 2010 and 2011. Approximately 75% of the total pre-tax charge will require cash payments, which we will fund with cash generated from operations. During the first six months of 2010, we had cash outflows of approximately \$35 million related to the 2010 actions. We expect to incur additional restructuring and other costs of \$55 million to complete these actions. We expect recurring pre-tax savings to increase over the two-year period subsequent to initiating the actions to approximately \$160 million annually.

2009 Actions. During the first six months of 2010, we recorded net pre-tax restructuring and other costs and reversals totaling \$35 million for restructuring actions initiated in 2009. The 2009 actions relate to ongoing cost reduction efforts, including workforce reductions, the consolidation of field operations and the consolidation of repair and overhaul operations. We recorded the charges for the first six months of 2010 as follows: Otis \$2 million, Carrier \$11 million, UTC Fire & Security \$7 million, Pratt & Whitney \$13 million and Hamilton Sundstrand \$2 million. The charges included \$23 million in cost of sales, \$11 million in selling, general and administrative expenses and \$1 million in other income, net. Those costs included \$9 million for severance and related employee termination costs, \$5 million for asset write-downs and \$21 million for facility exit and lease termination costs.

We expect the 2009 actions to result in net workforce reductions of approximately 14,500 hourly and salaried employees, the exiting of approximately 4.6 million net square feet of facilities and the disposal of assets associated with the exited facilities. As of June 30, 2010, we have completed net workforce reductions of approximately 12,800 employees and exited 1.1 million net square feet of facilities. We are targeting the majority of the remaining workforce and all facility related cost reduction actions for completion during 2010 and 2011. Approximately 60% of the total pre-tax charge will require cash payments, which we will fund with cash generated from operations. During the first six months of 2010, we had cash outflows of approximately \$131 million related to the 2009 actions. We expect to incur additional restructuring and other costs of \$63 million to complete these actions. We expect recurring pre-tax savings to increase over the two-year period subsequent to initiating the actions to approximately \$700 million annually.

[Table of Contents](#)

In September 2009, Pratt & Whitney announced plans to close a repair facility and an engine overhaul facility in Connecticut. For additional information concerning litigation related to Pratt & Whitney's decision to close these two facilities, see Part II, Item 1, "Legal Proceedings" in this Form 10-Q for further discussion.

Additional 2010 Actions. We expect to initiate additional restructuring actions during the remainder of 2010. Including trailing costs from previously announced restructuring actions, we expect a net \$.20 charge to diluted earnings per share for anticipated restructuring costs and non-recurring items for the full year 2010. Except for those actions described above, no specific plans for significant other actions have been finalized at this time.

Segment Review

Segments are generally based on the management structure of the businesses and the grouping of similar operating companies, where each management organization has general operating autonomy over diversified products and services. Adjustments to reconcile segment reporting to the consolidated results for the quarters ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 are included in "Eliminations and other" below, which also includes certain small subsidiaries.

Results for the quarters ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 are as follows:

(in millions)	Revenues		Operating Profits		Operating Profit Margins	
	2010	2009	2010	2009	2010	2009
Otis	\$ 2,837	\$ 2,952	\$ 641	\$ 631	22.6%	21.4%
Carrier	3,124	3,100	333	260	10.7%	8.4%
UTC Fire & Security	1,619	1,330	168	55	10.4%	4.1%
Pratt & Whitney	3,298	3,111	522	467	15.8%	15.0%
Hamilton Sundstrand	1,387	1,402	204	187	14.7%	13.3%
Sikorsky	1,691	1,389	169	133	10.0%	9.6%
Total segments	13,956	13,284	2,037	1,733	14.6%	13.0%
Eliminations and other	(66)	(88)	(19)	(7)		
General corporate expenses	—	—	(93)	(89)		
Consolidated	<u>\$13,890</u>	<u>\$13,196</u>	<u>\$1,925</u>	<u>\$1,637</u>	<u>13.9%</u>	<u>12.4%</u>

Second quarter 2010 and 2009 restructuring and other costs included in consolidated operating profit totaled \$85 million and \$301 million, respectively, as follows:

(in millions)	Quarter Ended June 30,	
	2010	2009
Otis	\$ 17	\$ 57
Carrier	15	55
UTC Fire & Security	19	86
Pratt & Whitney	9	56
Hamilton Sundstrand	7	37
Sikorsky	7	7
Eliminations and other	11	1
General corporate expenses	—	2
Total	<u>\$ 85</u>	<u>\$ 301</u>

Table of Contents

Results for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 are as follows:

(in millions)	Revenues		Operating Profits		Operating Profit Margins	
	2010	2009	2010	2009	2010	2009
Otis	\$ 5,569	\$ 5,617	\$ 1,237	\$ 1,137	22.2%	20.2%
Carrier	5,564	5,587	472	282	8.5%	5.0%
UTC Fire & Security	3,038	2,616	291	148	9.6%	5.7%
Pratt & Whitney	6,190	6,291	958	903	15.5%	14.4%
Hamilton Sundstrand	2,728	2,783	425	379	15.6%	13.6%
Sikorsky	3,057	2,723	314	249	10.3%	9.1%
Total segments	26,146	25,617	3,697	3,098	14.1%	12.1%
Eliminations and other	(165)	(172)	(64)	(44)		
General corporate expenses	—	—	(170)	(167)		
Consolidated	<u>\$25,981</u>	<u>\$25,445</u>	<u>\$3,463</u>	<u>\$2,887</u>	<u>13.3%</u>	<u>11.3%</u>

For the first six months of 2010 and 2009, restructuring and other costs included in consolidated operating profit totaled \$152 million and \$464 million, respectively, as follows:

(in millions)	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2010	2009
Otis	\$ 28	\$ 79
Carrier	33	96
UTC Fire & Security	29	100
Pratt & Whitney	35	120
Hamilton Sundstrand	9	56
Sikorsky	7	7
Eliminations and other	11	3
General corporate expenses	—	3
Totals	<u>\$ 152</u>	<u>\$ 464</u>

The tables and segment discussions that follow address the factors that contributed to the year-over-year changes in revenues and operating profits:

Otis –

	Factors Contributing to Total % Change Year-Over-Year in:			
	Quarter Ended June 30, 2010		Six Months Ended June 30, 2010	
	Revenues	Operating Profits	Revenues	Operating Profits
Organic revenue / Operational operating profit	(4)%	5 %	(4)%	7 %
Foreign currency translation	1 %	—	3 %	3 %
Acquisitions and divestitures, net	1 %	—	1 %	—
Restructuring and other costs	—	6 %	—	4 %
Other	(2)%	(9)%	(1)%	(5)%
Total % change	<u>(4)%</u>	<u>2 %</u>	<u>(1)%</u>	<u>9 %</u>

Quarter Ended June 30, 2010 Compared with Quarter Ended June 30, 2009

Revenues decreased \$115 million (4%) in the second quarter of 2010, compared with the same period of 2009. The organic revenue decline in the quarter was due to a decrease in new equipment sales, as continued growth in China was offset by declines in most other major markets. The decrease in new equipment sales was partially offset by continued growth in the contractual maintenance business and resumption of growth in repair sales. The decrease contributed by "Other" reflects the absence of a \$52 million gain recognized in the second quarter of 2009.

[Table of Contents](#)

Operating profits increased \$10 million (2%) in the second quarter of 2010, compared with the same period of 2009. Operational profit improvement was primarily due to the benefits from on-going cost reduction programs as well as strong conversion of manufacturing volume in China, and higher service volume globally. The decrease contributed by “Other” reflects the absence of a \$52 million gain recognized in the second quarter of 2009.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 Compared with Six Months Ended June 30, 2009

Revenues decreased \$48 million (1%) in the first six months of 2010, compared with the same period of 2009, as lower organic volume was mostly offset by the favorable impact of foreign currency translation. The organic revenue decline in the first half of 2010 was due primarily to a decrease in new equipment sales in North America and Europe, partially offset by a strong rebound in China and strength in the contractual maintenance business. The decrease contributed by “Other” reflects the absence of a \$52 million gain recognized in the second quarter of 2009.

Operating profits increased \$100 million (9%) in the first six months of 2010, compared with the same period of 2009. Operational profit improvement was primarily due to the benefits from on-going cost reduction programs, strong conversion on manufacturing volume in China, and increased volume in the contractual maintenance business. The decrease contributed by “Other” reflects the absence of a \$52 million gain recognized in the second quarter of 2009.

Carrier –

Factors Contributing to Total % Change Year-Over-Year in:

	Quarter Ended June 30, 2010		Six Months Ended June 30, 2010	
	Revenues	Operating Profits	Revenues	Operating Profits
Organic revenue / Operational operating profit	7 %	30 %	5 %	64 %
Foreign currency translation	1 %	—	2 %	3 %
Acquisitions and divestitures, net	(8)%	(1)%	(7)%	4 %
Restructuring and other costs	—	15 %	—	22 %
Other	1 %	(16)%	—	(26)%
Total % change	<u>1 %</u>	<u>28 %</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>67 %</u>

Quarter Ended June 30, 2010 Compared with Quarter Ended June 30, 2009

Revenues increased \$24 million (1%) in the second quarter of 2010, compared with the same period of 2009. Organic revenue growth was driven by improvement in both the U.S. residential systems and transport refrigeration markets, partially offset by a decline in the global commercial HVAC equipment market. The 8% decrease in “Acquisitions and divestitures, net” reflects the net year-over-year impact from acquisitions and divestitures completed in the preceding twelve months, including the transaction in the third quarter of 2009 with Watsco, Inc.

Operating profit increased \$73 million (28%) in the second quarter of 2010, compared with the same period of 2009. The operational profit improvement was driven by strong revenue growth combined with the carry-over benefits of aggressive cost reduction and organizational restructuring, partially offset by increased commodity costs and some price pressure. Included in “Other” in the second quarter of 2010 is a net charge of approximately \$47 million resulting from dispositions associated with Carrier’s ongoing portfolio transformation. Included in this net charge is an approximately \$58 million asset impairment charge associated with the expected disposition of a business, partially offset by a gain on the sale of another business.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 Compared with Six Months Ended June 30, 2009

Revenues decreased \$23 million in the first six months of 2010, compared with the same period of 2009. Organic revenue growth was driven by improvement in both the U.S. residential systems and transport refrigeration markets, partially offset by a decline in the global commercial HVAC equipment market. The 7% decrease in “Acquisitions and divestitures, net” in the first six months of 2010 reflects the net year-over-year impact from acquisitions and divestitures completed in the preceding twelve months, including the transaction in the third quarter of 2009 with Watsco, Inc.

Operating profits increased \$190 million (67%) in the first six months of 2010, compared with the same period of 2009. The operational profit improvement was largely driven by conversion on the revenue growth combined with carry-over benefits of aggressive cost reduction and organizational restructuring. The decrease contributed by “Other” primarily reflects the year-over-year net impact of transactions resulting from dispositions arising from Carrier’s ongoing portfolio transformation. Included in this net charge is an approximately \$58 million asset impairment charge in the second quarter of 2010 associated with the expected disposition of a business, partially offset by a gain on the sale of another business.

UTC Fire & Security –

Factors Contributing to Total % Change Year-Over-Year in:

	Quarter Ended June 30, 2010		Six Months Ended June 30, 2010	
	Revenues	Operating Profits	Revenues	Operating Profits
Organic revenue / Operational operating profit	(4)%	(15)%	(6)%	(9)%
Foreign currency translation	1 %	5 %	5 %	9 %
Acquisitions and divestitures, net	25 %	96 %	17 %	50 %
Restructuring and other costs	—	122 %	—	48 %
Other	—	(3)%	—	(1)%
Total % change	<u>22 %</u>	<u>205 %</u>	<u>16 %</u>	<u>97 %</u>

Quarter Ended June 30, 2010 Compared with Quarter Ended June 30, 2009

Revenues increased \$289 million (22%) in the second quarter of 2010, compared with the same period of 2009. Organically, the revenue contraction was driven by declines in the service and install businesses (4%). Geographically, weakness was experienced in most regions as a result of weaker economic conditions. The increase contributed by “Acquisitions and divestitures, net” principally reflects the net year-over-year impact from acquisition and divestitures completed in the preceding twelve months, led by the acquisition in March 2010 of the GE Security business.

Operating profits increased \$113 million (205%) in the second quarter of 2010, compared with the same period of 2009, primarily due to the favorable impact of net acquisitions and lower year-over-year restructuring costs. The operational profit decline reflects the impact of organic volume contraction, largely offset by the benefits of integration of field operations, restructuring actions taken, and cost controls. The increase contributed by “Acquisitions and divestitures, net” primarily reflects the acquisition in March 2010 of the GE Security business.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 Compared with Six Months Ended June 30, 2009

Revenues increased \$422 million (16%) in the first six months of 2010, compared with the same period of 2009. Organically, the revenue contraction was driven by declines in the service and install businesses (5%) and product businesses (1%). Geographically, weakness was experienced across all regions, most notably in the Americas and Europe, as a result of weaker economic conditions. The increase contributed by “Acquisitions and divestitures, net” principally reflects the net year-over-year impact from acquisition and divestitures completed in the preceding twelve months, led by the acquisition in March 2010 of the GE Security business.

Operating profits increased \$143 million (97%) in the first six months of 2010, compared with the same period of 2009 primarily due to the impact of net acquisitions and lower year-over-year restructuring costs. The operational profit decline reflects the impact of organic volume contraction, largely offset by the benefits of integration of field operations, restructuring actions taken, and cost controls. The increase contributed by “Acquisitions and divestitures, net” primarily reflects the acquisition in March 2010 of the GE Security business.

Pratt & Whitney –

Factors Contributing to Total % Change Year-Over-Year in:

	Quarter Ended June 30, 2010		Six Months Ended June 30, 2010	
	Revenues	Operating Profits	Revenues	Operating Profits
Organic revenue* / Operational operating profit*	1 %	(5)%	(6)%	(9)%
Foreign currency (including P&WC net hedging)*	4 %	8 %	4 %	6 %
Acquisitions and divestitures, net	1 %	2 %	—	1 %
Restructuring and other costs	—	10 %	—	9 %
Other	—	(3)%	—	(1)%
Total % change	<u>6 %</u>	<u>12 %</u>	<u>(2)%</u>	<u>6 %</u>

[Table of Contents](#)

* As discussed further in the “Business Overview” and “Results of Operations” sections of Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, for Pratt & Whitney only, the transactional impact of foreign exchange hedging at P&WC has been netted against the translational foreign exchange impact for presentation purposes in the above table. For all other segments, these foreign exchange transactional impacts are included within the organic revenue/operational operating profit caption in their respective tables. Due to its significance to Pratt & Whitney’s overall operating results, we believe it is useful to segregate the foreign exchange transactional impact in order to clearly identify the underlying financial performance.

Quarter Ended June 30, 2010 Compared with Quarter Ended June 30, 2009

Revenues increased \$187 million (6%) in the second quarter of 2010, compared with the same period of 2009. The increase in organic revenues primarily reflects an increase in large commercial engine and military OEM shipments, offset by lower engine volumes at P&WC. The impact from foreign currency reflects the beneficial transactional impact of foreign exchange hedging at P&WC.

Operating profits increased \$55 million (12%) in the second quarter of 2010, compared with the same period of 2009. The operational profit decline was primarily driven by the unfavorable impact of increased research and development costs (5%). A decrease in operating profits at P&WC, driven by lower engine volumes, was mostly offset by the favorable impact of higher military OEM shipments.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 Compared with Six Months Ended June 30, 2009

Revenues decreased \$101 million (2%) in the first six months of 2010, compared with the same period of 2009. The decrease in organic revenues is primarily attributable to lower engine volumes at P&WC. The impact from foreign currency reflects the beneficial transactional impact of foreign exchange hedging at P&WC.

Operating profits increased \$55 million (6%) in the first six months of 2010, compared with the same period of 2009. The operational profit decline was primarily driven by a decline at P&WC as a result of lower engine volumes, and a decrease within the large commercial engine business, driven by lower aftermarket volume.

Hamilton Sundstrand –

Factors Contributing to Total % Change Year-Over-Year in:

	Quarter Ended June 30, 2010		Six Months Ended June 30, 2010	
	Revenues	Operating Profits	Revenues	Operating Profits
Organic revenue / Operational operating profit	(1)%	7 %	(3)%	6 %
Foreign currency translation	(1)%	(1)%	—	—
Restructuring and other costs	—	16 %	—	12 %
Other	1 %	(13)%	1 %	(6)%
Total % change	(1)%	9 %	(2)%	12 %

Quarter Ended June 30, 2010 Compared with Quarter Ended June 30, 2009

Revenues decreased \$15 million (1%) in the second quarter of 2010, compared with the same period of 2009. The organic revenue contraction reflects volume decline in the aerospace businesses (3%), partially offset by an increase in the industrial businesses (2%). The decrease within aerospace was due to a decline in OEM (4%) partially offset by an increase in aftermarket (1%).

Operating profits increased \$17 million (9%) in the second quarter of 2010, compared with the same period of 2009. The increase in operational profit reflects an increase in the industrial businesses (8%) partially offset by a decrease in the aerospace businesses (1%). The decrease within aerospace was due to a decline in OEM (8%), which is primarily attributable to an increase in year-over-year research and development costs (6%) and higher net commodities costs (1%), offset by an increase in aftermarket (7%). The decrease contributed by “Other” includes approximately \$28 million of asset impairment charges recorded in the second quarter of 2010. These charges relate primarily to the expected disposition of an aerospace business as part of Hamilton Sundstrand’s efforts to implement low cost sourcing initiatives.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 Compared with Six Months Ended June 30, 2009

Revenues decreased \$55 million (2%) in the first six months of 2010, compared with the same period of 2009. The organic revenue contraction reflects volume decline in the aerospace businesses (4%), partially offset by an increase in the industrial businesses (1%). The decrease within aerospace was attributable to a decline in OEM (5%), partially offset by an increase in aftermarket (1%).

[Table of Contents](#)

Operating profits increased \$46 million (12%) in the first six months of 2010, compared with the same period of 2009. The increase in operational profit is primarily attributable to an increase in the industrial businesses (8%), partially offset by a decrease in the aerospace businesses (2%). The decrease within aerospace was due to a decline in OEM (7%) partially offset by an increase in aftermarket (5%). The decrease contributed by “Other” includes approximately \$28 million of asset impairment charges recorded in the second quarter of 2010. These charges relate primarily to the expected disposition of an aerospace business as part of Hamilton Sundstrand’s efforts to implement low cost sourcing initiatives.

Sikorsky –

	Factors Contributing to Total % Change Year-Over-Year in:			
	Quarter Ended June 30, 2010		Six Months Ended June 30, 2010	
	Revenues	Operating Profits	Revenues	Operating Profits
Organic revenue / Operational operating profit	22 %	33 %	12 %	21 %
Acquisitions and divestitures, net	—	(6)%	—	(3)%
Other	—	—	—	8 %
Total % change	<u>22 %</u>	<u>27 %</u>	<u>12 %</u>	<u>26 %</u>

Quarter Ended June 30, 2010 Compared with Quarter Ended June 30, 2009

Revenues increased \$302 million (22%) in the second quarter of 2010, compared with the same period of 2009. Organic revenue growth was primarily driven by increased military revenues (20%).

Operating profits increased \$36 million (27%) in the second quarter of 2010, compared with the same period of 2009. The operational profit improvement was primarily attributable to increased aircraft deliveries, lower manufacturing costs, and favorable mix for aircraft within military (combined 49%). This increase was partially offset primarily by increased research and development costs. The 6% decrease contributed in “Acquisitions and divestitures, net” reflects start-up costs of a new equity investment in the United Arab Emirates in 2010.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 Compared with Six Months Ended June 30, 2009

Revenues increased \$334 million (12%) in the first six months of 2010, compared with the same period of 2009. Organic revenue growth was primarily driven by an increase in military revenues (12%).

Operating profits increased \$65 million (26%) in the first six months of 2010, compared with the same period of 2009. The operational profit improvement was primarily attributable to increased aircraft deliveries and favorable mix for aircraft within military (combined 31%) partially offset by higher research and development costs (10%). The impact from reduced operational profit within commercial operations, primarily due to commercial market weakness and a less favorable aircraft configuration mix, was offset by operational profit growth from aftermarket operations and customer funded development programs. The 3% decrease contributed in “Acquisitions and divestitures, net” reflects start-up costs of a new equity investment in the United Arab Emirates in 2010. The 8% increase contributed by “Other” primarily reflects the absence of prior year costs associated with a union contract ratified in the first quarter of 2009.

Eliminations and other— The year-over-year change in revenues for the second quarter of 2010, as compared with the same period of 2009, primarily reflects a \$24 million favorable pretax interest adjustment associated with the resolution of an uncertain temporary tax item, partially offset by the impact in the second quarter of 2010 from the early redemption of long-term debt that was due November 15, 2010, and losses associated with our equity investment in Clipper. Including the items noted above, the year-over-year change in operating profit also reflects the adverse impact of pension curtailment costs.

LIQUIDITY AND FINANCIAL CONDITION

<u>(in millions)</u>	<u>June 30, 2010</u>	<u>December 31, 2009</u>	<u>June 30, 2009</u>
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 4,997	\$ 4,449	\$ 4,016
Total debt	12,065	9,744	10,816
Net debt (total debt less cash and cash equivalents)	7,068	5,295	6,800
Total equity ¹	20,915	20,999	18,087
Total capitalization (debt plus equity) ¹	32,980	30,743	28,903
Net capitalization (debt plus equity less cash and cash equivalents) ¹	27,983	26,294	24,887
Debt to total capitalization ¹	37%	32%	37%
Net debt to net capitalization ¹	25%	20%	27%

Note 1 During 2009, we adopted the FASB Accounting Standards Update (ASU) for redeemable equity instruments, applicable for all noncontrolling interests with redemption features, such as put options, that are not solely within our control (redeemable noncontrolling interests). The standards require redeemable noncontrolling interests to be reported in the mezzanine section of the balance sheet, between liabilities and equity, at the greater of redemption value or initial carrying value. As a result of this adoption, we have retroactively reclassified "Redeemable noncontrolling interests" in the mezzanine section of the balance sheet and have increased them to redemption value, where required, resulting in a \$319 million reclassification from total equity at June 30, 2009. Additional discussion of the accounting for redeemable noncontrolling interests is included in Note 9 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

We assess our liquidity in terms of our ability to generate cash to fund our operating, investing and financing activities. Our principal source of liquidity is operating cash flows, which, after netting out capital expenditures, we target to equal or exceed net income attributable to common shareowners. In addition to operating cash flows, other significant factors that affect our overall management of liquidity include: capital expenditures, customer financing requirements, investments in businesses, dividends, common stock repurchases, pension funding, access to the commercial paper markets, adequacy of available bank lines of credit, and the ability to attract long-term capital at satisfactory terms.

Distress in the financial markets over the last several years has had an adverse impact on financial markets including, among other things, extreme volatility in security prices, diminished liquidity and credit availability, rating downgrades of certain investments and declining valuations of others. We have assessed the implications of these factors on our current business, are closely monitoring the impact on our customers and suppliers, and have determined that while there has been some impact to working capital, overall there has not been a significant effect on our financial position, results of operations or liquidity during the first six months of 2010. Our pension plans have not experienced any significant impact on liquidity or counterparty exposure due to the volatility in the credit markets. Due to the substantial improvement in equity markets during the course of 2009, our domestic pension funds experienced a positive return on assets of approximately 21% in 2009. During the first six months of 2010, the return on our domestic pension funds was insignificant. As a result of the positive returns experienced during 2009, as well as additional funding during 2009 and a change to the final average earnings formula, pension expense in 2010 is expected to be lower than 2009 levels.

Approximately 88% of our domestic pension plans are invested in readily-liquid investments, including equity, fixed income, asset-backed receivables and structured products. The balance of our domestic pension plans (12%) is invested in less-liquid but market-valued investments, including real estate and private equity.

As discussed further below, our strong debt ratings and financial position have historically enabled us to issue long-term debt at favorable market rates, including our \$2.25 billion of long-term debt issuances in February 2010. In May 2010, we repaid the entire \$600 million outstanding principal amount of our 4.375% notes at maturity, and in June 2010, we redeemed the entire \$500 million outstanding principal amount of our 7.125% notes that were due November 15, 2010. Our ability to obtain debt financing at comparable risk-based interest rates is partly a function of our existing debt-to-total-capitalization level as well as our current credit standing.

We continue to have access to the commercial paper markets and our existing credit facilities, and expect to continue to generate strong operating cash flows. While the impact of continued market volatility cannot be predicted, we believe we have sufficient operating flexibility, cash reserves and funding sources to maintain adequate amounts of liquidity and to meet our future operating cash needs.

[Table of Contents](#)

Most of our cash is denominated in foreign currencies. We manage our worldwide cash requirements by considering available funds among the many subsidiaries through which we conduct our business and the cost effectiveness with which those funds can be accessed. The repatriation of cash balances from certain of our subsidiaries could have adverse tax consequences; however, those balances are generally available without legal restrictions to fund ordinary business operations. We will continue to transfer cash from those subsidiaries to UTC and to other international subsidiaries when it is cost effective to do so.

On occasion, we are required to maintain cash deposits with certain banks in respect to contractual obligations related to acquisitions or divestitures or other legal obligations. As of June 30, 2009, \$346 million of restricted cash was reported in current assets in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet. Restricted cash as of June 30, 2010 was not significant.

Cash Flow - Operating Activities

<u>(in millions)</u>	<u>Six Months Ended June 30,</u>	
	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>
Net cash flows provided by operating activities	\$ 2,554	\$ 2,025

The increase in cash generated from operating activities in the first six months of 2010 as compared with the same period in 2009 is due largely to the increase in net income attributable to common shareowners as a result of higher volumes, and to lower global pension cash contributions, partially offset by higher working capital cash requirements. During the first six months of 2010, the change in working capital resulted in a cash outflow of \$397 million compared to a cash outflow of \$196 million during the first six months of 2009, a year-over-year increase of \$201 million. Accounts receivable increased approximately \$550 million during the first six months of 2010 due to sales volume increases primarily at Carrier and Sikorsky during the second quarter. An increase in the cash expended on inventories (approximately \$650 million) was more than offset by a corresponding increase in accounts payable (approximately \$550 million) and accruals of approximately \$285 million primarily associated with increased current tax liabilities.

The funded status of our pension plans is dependent upon many factors, including returns on invested assets and the level of market interest rates. We can contribute cash or company stock to our plans at our discretion. We made \$261 million of cash contributions and contributed \$250 million in UTC common stock to our global defined benefit pension plans in the first six months of 2010. We expect to make total cash contributions of \$600 million to our global defined benefit pension plans during the year, including approximately \$400 million to our domestic plans inclusive of the contributions to our domestic plans in the first six months of 2010. Expected contributions to our global defined pension plans in 2010 will meet or exceed the current funding requirements.

Cash Flow - Investing Activities

<u>(in millions)</u>	<u>Six Months Ended June 30,</u>	
	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>
Net cash flows used in investing activities	\$ (2,359)	\$ (527)

The increase in the net use of cash flows year-over-year in investing activities is largely a result of an increase in acquisitions activity in the first six months of 2010 as compared to the first six months of 2009. Investments in businesses in the first six months of 2010 primarily reflect the acquisition of the GE Security business for approximately \$1.8 billion and the acquisition of a 49.9% equity stake in Clipper for approximately \$270 million. We expect total investments in businesses in 2010 to be approximately \$3 billion, including acquisitions completed during the first six months of 2010; however, actual acquisition spending may vary depending upon the timing, availability and appropriate value of acquisition opportunities. Capital expenditures declined year-over-year as most of the businesses curtailed such expenditures in line with the volume reductions as a result of the challenging economic climate.

Customer financing activities was a net use of cash of \$28 million for the first six months of 2010, compared to a net use of cash of \$38 million for the same period in 2009. While we expect that 2010 customer financing activity will be a net use of funds, actual funding is subject to usage under existing customer financing commitments during the remainder of the year. We may also arrange for third-party investors to assume a portion of our commitments. We had financing and rental commitments of approximately \$875 million and \$909 million related to commercial aircraft at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively, of which as much as \$113 million may be required to be disbursed during 2010.

Cash Flow - Financing Activities

<u>(in millions)</u>	<u>Six Months Ended June 30,</u>	
	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>
Net cash flows provided by (used in) financing activities	\$ 405	\$ (1,785)

The timing and levels of certain cash flow activities, such as acquisitions and repurchases of our stock, have resulted in the issuance of both long-term and short-term debt. Commercial paper borrowings and revolving credit facilities provide short-term liquidity to supplement operating cash flows and are used for general corporate purposes, including the funding of potential acquisitions and repurchases of our stock. In February 2010, we issued \$2.25 billion of long-term debt. We used the net proceeds from these issuances primarily to partially fund the acquisition of the GE Security business and to repay commercial paper borrowings. In May 2010, we repaid the entire \$600 million outstanding principal amount of our 4.375% notes at maturity and in June 2010, we redeemed the entire \$500 million outstanding principal amount of our 7.125% notes that were due November 15, 2010. We had approximately \$1,225 million of commercial paper outstanding at June 30, 2010, all of which was scheduled to mature within one month.

At June 30, 2010, we had two committed credit agreements from banks permitting aggregate borrowings of up to \$2.5 billion. One credit commitment is a \$1.5 billion revolving credit agreement. As of June 30, 2010, there were no borrowings under this revolving credit agreement, which expires in October 2011. We also have a \$1.0 billion multicurrency revolving credit agreement that is available for general funding purposes, including acquisitions. As of June 30, 2010, there were no borrowings under this revolving credit agreement, which expires in November 2011. The undrawn portions of both the \$1.5 billion revolving credit agreement and \$1.0 billion multicurrency revolving credit agreement are also available to serve as backup facilities for the issuance of commercial paper.

We repurchased \$1,160 million of our common stock of which \$1,150 million was paid for in the first six months of 2010, under a 60 million share repurchase program. On March 10, 2010, the Board of Directors authorized a new 60 million common share repurchase program that replaced the previous program, approved in June 2008, which was nearing completion. Share repurchases in the first six months of 2010 represent approximately 16.7 million shares, which includes 4.9 million shares repurchased under the previous program and 11.8 million shares repurchased under the new program. At June 30, 2010, approximately 48.2 million shares remain available for repurchase under the new share repurchase program. We expect total share repurchases in 2010 to be approximately \$2.0 billion. However, total repurchases may vary depending upon various factors including the level of other investing activities. The share repurchase program continues to be a significant use of our cash flows and, at a minimum, is expected to offset the dilutive effect of the issuance of stock and options under stock-based employee benefit programs.

We paid dividends of \$0.425 per share in the first quarter of 2010 totaling \$373 million and \$0.425 per share in the second quarter of 2010 totaling \$371 million. On June 9, 2010, the Board of Directors declared a dividend of \$0.425 per share payable September 10, 2010.

We have an existing universal shelf registration statement filed with the SEC for an indeterminate amount of securities for future issuance, subject to our internal limitations on the amount of debt to be issued under this shelf registration statement.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements and Contractual Obligations

In our 2009 Annual Report, incorporated by reference in our 2009 Form 10-K, we disclosed our off-balance sheet arrangements and contractual obligations. At June 30, 2010, there have been no material changes to these off-balance sheet arrangements and contractual obligations outside the ordinary course of business except as otherwise disclosed.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

There has been no significant change in our exposure to market risk during the first six months of 2010. For discussion of our exposure to market risk, refer to Part II, Item 7A, “Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk,” contained in our 2009 Form 10-K.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

As required by Rule 13a-15 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Exchange Act), we carried out an evaluation under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including the Chairman & Chief Executive Officer (CEO), the Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (CFO), and the Vice President, Controller (Controller), of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of June 30, 2010. There are inherent limitations to the effectiveness of any system of disclosure controls and procedures, including the possibility of human error and the circumvention or overriding of the controls and procedures. Accordingly, even effective disclosure controls and procedures can only provide reasonable assurance of achieving their control objectives. Based upon our evaluation, our CEO, our CFO, and our Controller have concluded that, as of June 30, 2010, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the applicable rules and forms, and that it is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our CEO, our CFO, and our Controller, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

There has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended June 30, 2010 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Cautionary Note Concerning Factors That May Affect Future Results

This report on Form 10-Q contains statements which, to the extent they are not statements of historical or present fact, constitute “forward-looking statements” under the securities laws. From time to time, oral or written forward-looking statements may also be included in other materials released to the public. These forward-looking statements are intended to provide management’s current expectations or plans for our future operating and financial performance, based on assumptions currently believed to be valid. Forward-looking statements can be identified by the use of words such as “believe,” “expect,” “plans,” “strategy,” “prospects,” “estimate,” “project,” “target,” “anticipate,” “guidance” and other words of similar meaning in connection with a discussion of future operating or financial performance. These include, among others, statements relating to:

- future revenues, earnings, cash flow, uses of cash and other measures of financial performance;
- the effect of economic conditions in the United States and globally, including the financial condition of our customers and suppliers;
- new business opportunities;
- restructuring costs and savings;
- the scope, nature or impact of acquisition and divestiture activity including integration of acquired businesses into our existing businesses;
- the development, production and support of advanced technologies and new products and services;
- the anticipated benefits of diversification and balance of operations across product lines, regions and industries;
- the impact of the negotiation of collective bargaining agreements;
- the outcome of contingencies;
- future repurchases of common stock;
- future levels of indebtedness and capital spending;
- future availability of and access to credit markets;
- pension plan assumptions and future contributions; and
- the effect of changes in tax, environmental and other laws and regulations in the United States and other countries in which we operate.

All forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties that may cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed or implied in the forward-looking statements. This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q includes important information as to these factors that may cause actual results to vary materially from those stated in the forward-looking statements. See the “Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements” under the heading “Contingent Liabilities,” the section titled “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” under the headings “Business Overview,” “Critical

[Table of Contents](#)

Accounting Estimates,” “Results of Continuing Operations,” and “Liquidity and Financial Condition” and the section titled “Risk Factors.” Our 2009 Annual Report also includes important information as to these risk factors in the “Business” section under the headings “Description of Business by Segment” and “Other Matters Relating to Our Business as a Whole,” and in the “Risk Factors” and “Legal Proceedings” sections. Additional important information as to these factors is included in our 2009 Annual Report in the section titled “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” under the headings “Business Overview,” “Critical Accounting Estimates,” “Environmental Matters” and “Restructuring and Other Costs.” For additional information identifying factors that may cause actual results to vary materially from those stated in the forward-looking statements, see our reports on Forms 10-K, 10-Q and 8-K filed with the SEC from time to time.

PART II – OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

As previously disclosed, in September 2009 Pratt & Whitney announced plans to close a repair facility in East Hartford, Connecticut by the second quarter of 2010 and an engine overhaul facility in Cheshire, Connecticut by early 2011. The International Association of Machinists (IAM) subsequently filed a lawsuit in the U.S. District Court for the District of Connecticut in Hartford, Connecticut alleging that Pratt & Whitney’s decision to close these facilities and transfer certain work to facilities outside Connecticut breached the terms of its collective bargaining agreement with the IAM and seeking to enjoin Pratt & Whitney from moving the work for the duration of the current collective bargaining agreement. In February 2010, following a trial on the merits, the District Court issued a declaratory judgment permanently enjoining Pratt & Whitney from closing the facilities and transferring the work for the duration of the current collective bargaining agreement, which expires on December 5, 2010. Pratt & Whitney subsequently filed an appeal with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit. On July 8, 2010, the Court of Appeals upheld the District Court’s decision. Pratt & Whitney is reviewing this decision and considering its impact on Pratt & Whitney’s operations. Pratt & Whitney recorded \$53 million of restructuring costs in 2009 and \$5 million of restructuring costs in 2010 associated with these planned closures. We do not believe that resolution of this matter will have a material adverse effect upon our competitive position, results of operations, cash flows or financial condition.

Except as noted above, there have been no material developments in legal proceedings. For a description of previously reported legal proceedings refer to Part I, Item 3, “Legal Proceedings,” of our 2009 Form 10-K, and Part II, Item 1 of our Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2010.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Our business, financial condition, operating results and cash flows can be impacted by a number of factors, including, but not limited to, those set forth below, any one of which could cause our actual results to vary materially from recent results or from our anticipated future results. For a discussion identifying additional risk factors and important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those anticipated, see the discussion in the “Business” section under the headings “Other Matters Relating to Our Business as a Whole” and “Cautionary Note Concerning Factors That May Affect Future Results” in our 2009 Form 10-K, in “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” and “Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements” in our 2009 Annual Report, and in “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” and “Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements” in this Form 10-Q.

Our Global Growth is Subject to a Number of Economic Risks

As widely reported, the global economic turmoil that began in 2008 continued throughout 2009 and into 2010, including widespread recessionary conditions, record levels of unemployment, significant distress of financial institutions, extreme volatility in security prices, severely diminished liquidity and credit availability, rating downgrades of certain investments and declining valuations of others. Governments have taken unprecedented actions intended to address extreme financial and economic conditions that include severely restricted credit and declines in real estate values. In recent months, certain indices and economic data have begun to show signs of improvement and stabilization in the macroeconomic environment. However, there can be no assurance that these improvements will be broad-based and sustainable, or that they will affect markets relevant to us. Further, there can be no assurance that we will not experience further adverse effects that may be material to our revenues, results of operations, financial condition and ability to access capital. These economic developments affect businesses such as ours in a number of ways. The tightening of credit in financial markets adversely affects the ability of our customers and suppliers to obtain financing for significant purchases and operations and could result in a decrease in or cancellation of orders for our products and services as well as impact the ability of our customers to make payments. Similarly, this tightening of credit may adversely affect our supplier base and increase the potential for one or more of our suppliers to experience financial distress or bankruptcy. Our global business is also adversely affected by decreases in the general level of economic activity, such as decreases in business and consumer spending, air travel, construction activity, the financial strength of airline customers and business jet operators, and government procurement. Strengthening of the rate of exchange for the U.S. dollar against certain major currencies such as the Euro, the Canadian dollar and other currencies also adversely affects our results. We are unable to predict the likely duration and severity of disruption in financial markets and adverse economic conditions in the U.S. and other countries.

Our Financial Performance Is Dependent on the Conditions of the Construction and Aerospace Industries

The results of our commercial and industrial businesses, which generated approximately 58% of our consolidated revenues in 2009, are influenced by a number of external factors including fluctuations in residential and commercial construction activity, regulatory changes, interest rates, labor costs, foreign currency exchange rates, customer attrition, raw material and energy costs, the tightening of the U.S. credit markets and other global and political factors. In addition to these factors, Carrier's financial performance can also be influenced by production and utilization of transport equipment and, in its residential business, weather conditions.

The results of our commercial and military aerospace businesses, which generated approximately 42% of our consolidated revenues in 2009, are directly tied to the economic conditions in the commercial aviation and defense industries, which are cyclical in nature. While there have been recent positive signs in these industries, the challenging operating environment currently faced by commercial airlines is expected to continue. As a result, financial difficulties, including bankruptcy, of one or more of the major commercial airlines could result in significant cancellations of orders, reductions in our aerospace revenues and losses under existing contracts. In addition, capital spending and demand for aircraft engine and component aftermarket parts and service by commercial airlines, aircraft operators and aircraft manufacturers are influenced by a wide variety of factors, including current and predicted traffic levels, load factors, aircraft fuel pricing, labor issues, worldwide airline profits, airline consolidation, competition, the retirement of older aircraft, regulatory changes, terrorism and related safety concerns, general economic conditions, corporate profitability, and backlog levels, all of which could reduce both the demand for air travel and the aftermarket sales and margins of our aerospace businesses. Future terrorist actions, pandemic health issues or major natural disasters could dramatically reduce both the demand for air travel and aftermarket sales and margins in our aerospace businesses. Also, since a substantial portion of the backlog for commercial aerospace customers is scheduled for delivery beyond 2010, changes in economic conditions may cause customers to request that firm orders be rescheduled or canceled. At times, our aerospace businesses also enter into firm fixed-price development contracts, which may require us to bear cost overruns related to unforeseen technical and design challenges that arise during the development stage of the program. In addition, our aerospace businesses face intense competition from domestic and foreign manufacturers of new equipment and spare parts. The defense industry is also affected by a changing global political environment, continued pressure on U.S. and global defense spending and U.S. foreign policy and the level of activity in military flight operations. Spare parts sales and aftermarket service trends are affected by similar factors, including usage, pricing, technological improvements, regulatory changes and the retirement of older aircraft. The space industry is also impacted by changing U.S. government budget priorities, the phase-out of existing programs, and other similar factors. Furthermore, because of the lengthy research and development cycle involved in bringing products in these business segments to market, we cannot predict the economic conditions that will exist when any new product is complete. A reduction in capital spending in the commercial aviation or defense industries could have a significant effect on the demand for our products, which could have an adverse effect on our financial performance or results of operations.

Our Business May Be Affected by Government Contracting Risks

U.S. government contracts are subject to termination by the government, either for the convenience of the government or for default as a result of our failure to perform under the applicable contract. If terminated by the government as a result of our default, we could be liable for additional costs the government incurs in acquiring undelivered goods or services from another source and any other damages it suffers. We are now, and believe that in light of the current U.S. government contracting environment we will continue to be, the subject of one or more U.S. government investigations. If we or one of our business units were charged with wrongdoing as a result of any U.S. government investigation (including violation of certain environmental or export laws), the U.S. government could suspend us from bidding on or receiving awards of new U.S. government contracts pending the completion of legal proceedings. If convicted or found liable, the U.S. government could subject us to fines, penalties, repayments and treble and other damages. The U.S. government could void any contracts found to be tainted by fraud. The U.S. government also reserves the right to debar a contractor from receiving new government contracts for fraudulent, criminal or other seriously improper conduct. Debarment generally does not exceed three years. Independently, failure to comply with U.S. laws and regulations related to the export of goods and technology outside the United States could result in civil or criminal penalties and suspension or termination of our export privileges. In addition, we are also sensitive to U.S. military budgets, which may fluctuate to reflect the policies of a new administration or Congress.

Our International Operations Subject Us to Economic Risk As Our Results of Operations May Be Adversely Affected by Changes in Economic Conditions, Foreign Currency Fluctuations and Changes in Local Government Regulation

We conduct our business on a global basis, with approximately 59% of our total 2009 segment revenues derived from operations outside of the United States and from U.S. export sales. Changes in local and regional economic conditions, including fluctuations in exchange rates, may affect product demand and reported profits in our non-U.S. operations (primarily the commercial businesses) where transactions are generally denominated in local currencies. In addition, currency fluctuations may affect the prices we pay suppliers for materials used in our products. As a result, our operating margins may also be negatively impacted by worldwide

[Table of Contents](#)

currency fluctuations that result in higher costs for certain cross border transactions. Our financial statements are denominated in U.S. dollars. Accordingly, fluctuations in exchange rates may also give rise to translation gains or losses when financial statements of non-U.S. operating units are translated into U.S. dollars. Given that the majority of our revenues are non-U.S. based, a strengthening of the U.S. dollar against other major foreign currencies could adversely affect our results of operations.

The majority of sales in the aerospace businesses are transacted in U.S. dollars, consistent with established industry practice, while the majority of costs at locations outside the United States are incurred in the applicable local currency (principally the Euro and the Canadian dollar). For operating units with U.S. dollar sales and local currency costs, there is a foreign currency exposure that could impact our results of operations depending on market changes in the exchange rate of the U.S. dollar against the applicable foreign currencies. To manage certain exposures, we employ long-term hedging strategies associated with U.S. dollar revenues. See Note 1 and Note 13 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in our 2009 Annual Report and Note 8 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in this Form 10-Q for a discussion of our hedging strategies.

Our international sales and operations are subject to risks associated with changes in local government laws, regulations and policies, including those related to tariffs and trade barriers, investments, taxation, exchange controls, employment regulations, and repatriation of earnings. Our international sales and operations are also sensitive to changes in foreign national priorities, including government budgets, as well as to political and economic instability. International transactions may involve increased financial and legal risks due to differing legal systems and customs in foreign countries. For example, as a condition of sale or award of a contract, some international customers require us to agree to offset arrangements, which may include in-country purchases, manufacturing and financial support arrangements. The contract may provide for penalties in the event we fail to perform in accordance with the offset requirements.

In addition, as part of our globalization strategy, we have invested in certain countries, including Argentina, Brazil, China, India, Russia, South Africa and countries in the Middle East, that carry high levels of currency, political and economic risk. We expect that sales to emerging markets will continue to account for a significant portion of our total sales as our business evolves and as these and other developing nations and regions around the world increase their demand for our products. Emerging market operations can present many risks, including civil disturbances, health concerns, cultural differences, such as employment and business practices, volatility in gross domestic product, economic and government instability, and the imposition of exchange controls. While these factors or the impact of these factors are difficult to predict, any one or more of them could adversely affect our business, financial condition or operating results.

We Use a Variety of Raw Materials, Supplier-Provided Parts, Components, Sub-Systems and Third Party Contract Manufacturing Services in Our Businesses, and Significant Shortages, Supplier Capacity Constraints, Supplier Production Disruptions or Price Increases Could Increase Our Operating Costs and Adversely Impact the Competitive Positions of Our Products

Our reliance on suppliers, third party contract manufacturing and commodity markets to secure raw materials, parts, components and sub-systems used in our products exposes us to volatility in the prices and availability of these materials. In some instances, we depend upon a single source of supply, manufacturing or assembly or participate in commodity markets that may be subject to allocations of limited supplies by suppliers. A disruption in deliveries from our suppliers or third party contract manufacturers, supplier capacity constraints, supplier and third party contract manufacturer production disruptions, price increases, or decreased availability of raw materials or commodities, could have an adverse effect on our ability to meet our commitments to customers or increase our operating costs. We believe that our supply management and production practices are based on an appropriate balancing of the foreseeable risks and the costs of alternative practices. Nonetheless, price increases, supplier capacity constraints, supplier production disruptions or the unavailability of some raw materials may have an adverse effect on our results of operations or financial condition.

We Engage in Acquisitions and Divestitures, and May Encounter Difficulties Integrating Acquired Businesses with, or Disposing of Divested Businesses from, Our Current Operations; Therefore, We May Not Realize the Anticipated Benefits of these Acquisitions and Divestitures

We seek to grow through strategic acquisitions. In the past several years, we have made various acquisitions and entered into joint venture arrangements intended to complement and expand our businesses, and may continue to do so in the future. The success of these transactions will depend on our ability to integrate assets and personnel acquired in these transactions, apply our internal controls processes to these acquired businesses, and cooperate with our strategic partners. We may encounter difficulties in integrating acquisitions with our operations, applying our internal controls processes to these acquisitions, or in managing strategic investments. Additionally, we may not realize the degree or timing of benefits we anticipate when we first enter into a transaction. Any of the foregoing could adversely affect our business and results of operations. In addition, the recent effectiveness of revisions to accounting for business combinations, which, among other things, require companies to expense certain acquisition costs as incurred, may cause us to incur greater earnings volatility and generally lower earnings during periods in which we acquire new businesses. Furthermore, we make strategic divestitures from time to time. These divestitures may result in continued financial involvement in the divested businesses, such as through guarantees or other financial arrangements, following a transaction. Lower performance by divested businesses could affect our future financial results.

[Table of Contents](#)

We Design, Manufacture and Service Products that Incorporate Advanced Technologies; The Introduction of New Products and Technologies Involves Risks and We May Not Realize the Degree or Timing of Benefits Initially Anticipated

We seek to achieve growth through the design, development, production, sale and support of innovative products that incorporate advanced technologies. We regularly invest substantial amounts in research and development efforts that pursue advancements in a wide range of technologies, products and services. Our ability to realize the anticipated benefits of these advancements depends on a variety of factors, including meeting development, production, certification and regulatory approval schedules; execution of internal and external performance plans; availability of internal and supplier-produced parts and materials; performance of suppliers and subcontractors; achieving cost and production efficiencies; validation of innovative technologies and the level of customer interest in new technologies and products. These factors involve significant risks and uncertainties. We or our suppliers and subcontractors may encounter difficulties in developing and producing these new products and services, and may not realize the degree or timing of benefits initially anticipated. In particular, we cannot predict with certainty whether, when and in what quantities our aerospace businesses will produce aircraft engines, helicopters, aircraft systems and components and other products currently in development or pending required certifications. Any of the foregoing could adversely affect our business and results of operations.

We Are Subject to Litigation, Tax, Environmental and Other Legal Compliance Risks That Could Adversely Affect Our Operating Results

We are subject to a variety of litigation, tax and legal compliance risks. These risks include, among other things, litigation concerning product liability matters, personal injuries, intellectual property rights, government contracts, taxes, environmental matters and compliance with U.S. and foreign export laws, competition laws and laws governing improper business practices. We or one of our business units could be charged with wrongdoing as a result of such litigation. If convicted or found liable, we could be subject to fines, penalties, repayments, other damages (in certain cases, treble damages), or suspension or debarment from government contracts. Independently, failure of us or one of our business units to comply with applicable export and trade practice laws could result in civil or criminal penalties and suspension or termination of export privileges. As a global business, we are subject to complex laws and regulations in the U.S. and other countries in which we operate. Those laws and regulations may be interpreted in different ways. They may also change from time to time, as may related interpretations and other guidance. Changes in laws and regulations could result in higher expenses and payments, and uncertainty relating to laws and regulations may also affect how we conduct our operations and structure our investments and could limit our ability to enforce our rights. Changes in environmental laws and regulations could lead to new or additional investment in product designs and could increase environmental compliance expenditures. In the area of tax, changes in tax laws and regulations, as well as changes in related interpretations and other tax guidance could materially impact our tax receivables and liabilities and our deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities. Additionally, in the ordinary course of business we are subject to examinations by various authorities, including tax authorities. In addition to ongoing investigations, there could be additional investigations launched in the future by governmental authorities in various jurisdictions and existing investigations could be expanded. While we believe we have adopted appropriate risk management and compliance programs to address and reduce these risks, the global and diverse nature of our operations means that these risks will continue to exist and additional legal proceedings and contingencies will arise from time to time. Our results may be affected by the outcome of legal proceedings and other contingencies that cannot be predicted with certainty.

For non-income tax risks, we estimate material loss contingencies and establish reserves as required by generally accepted accounting principles based on our assessment of contingencies where liability is deemed probable and reasonably estimable in light of the facts and circumstances known to us at a particular point in time. Subsequent developments in legal proceedings may affect our assessment and estimates of the loss contingency recorded as a liability or as a reserve against assets in our financial statements and could result in an adverse effect on our results of operations in the period in which a liability would be recognized or cash flows for the period in which damages would be paid. For a description of current legal proceedings, see Part I, Item 3 "Legal Proceedings," in our 2009 Form 10-K, as updated from time to time in subsequent filings, including this Form 10-Q. For income tax risks, we recognize tax benefits based on our assessment that a tax benefit has a greater than 50% likelihood of being sustained upon ultimate settlement with the applicable taxing authority that has full knowledge of all relevant facts. For those income tax positions where we assess that there is not a greater than 50% likelihood that the tax benefit will be sustained, we do not recognize a tax benefit in our financial statements. Subsequent events may cause us to change our assessment of the likelihood of sustaining a previously-recognized benefit which could result in an adverse effect on our results of operations in the period in which such event occurs or on our cash flows in the period in which the ultimate settlement with the applicable taxing authority occurs.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds**Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities**

The following table provides information about our purchases during the quarter ended June 30, 2010 of equity securities that are registered by us pursuant to Section 12 of the Exchange Act.

<u>2010</u>	<u>Total Number of Shares Purchased (000's)</u>	<u>Average Price Paid per Share</u>	<u>Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of a Publicly Announced Program (000's)</u>	<u>Maximum Number of Shares that may yet be Purchased Under the Program (000's)</u>
April 1 - April 30	1,834	\$ 74.52	1,833	55,903
May 1 - May 31	3,682	70.00	3,681	52,222
June 1 - June 30	3,981	66.79	3,979	48,243
Total	<u>9,497</u>	<u>\$ 69.52</u>	<u>9,493</u>	

On March 10, 2010, the Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to 60 million shares of our common stock. This new authorization replaces a previous program, approved in June 2008, which was nearing completion. Under this program, shares may be purchased on the open market, in privately negotiated transactions and under plans complying with Rules 10b5-1 and 10b-18 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. These repurchases are included within the scope of our overall repurchase program discussed above. We may also reacquire shares outside of the program from time to time in connection with the surrender of shares to cover taxes on vesting of restricted stock. Approximately 4,000 shares were reacquired in transactions outside the program during the quarter.

In May 2010, we contributed 3,763,000 shares of our common stock valued at \$250 million to our domestic defined benefit pension plans, in accordance with Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. This contribution will reduce our future obligations to fund the plans.

[Table of Contents](#)

Item 6. Exhibits

<u>Exhibit Number</u>	<u>Exhibit Description</u>
12	Statement re: computation of ratio of earnings to fixed charges.*
15	Letter re: unaudited interim financial information.*
31	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certifications.*
32	Section 1350 Certifications.*
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document.* (File name: utx-20100630.xml)
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document.* (File name: utx-20100630.xsd)
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document.* (File name: utx-20100630_pre.xml)
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document.* (File name: utx-20100630_lab.xml)
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document.* (File name: utx-20100630_cal.xml)
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document.* (File name: utx-20100630_def.xml)

Notes to Exhibits List:

* Submitted electronically herewith.

Attached as Exhibit 101 to this report are the following formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language): (i) Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the quarters and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, (ii) Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, (iii) Condensed Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 and (iv) Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. In accordance with Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the XBRL related information in Exhibit 101 to this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q shall not be deemed to be "filed" for purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act, or otherwise subject to the liability of that section, and shall not be part of any registration statement or other document filed under the Securities Act or the Exchange Act, except as shall be expressly set forth by specific reference in such filing.

EXHIBIT INDEX

<u>Exhibit Number</u>	<u>Exhibit Description</u>
12	Statement re: computation of ratio of earnings to fixed charges.*
15	Letter re: unaudited interim financial information.*
31	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certifications.*
32	Section 1350 Certifications.*
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document.* (File name: utx-20100630.xml)
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document.* (File name: utx-20100630.xsd)
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document.* (File name: utx-20100630_pre.xml)
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document.* (File name: utx-20100630_lab.xml)
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document.* (File name: utx-20100630_cal.xml)
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document.* (File name: utx-20100630_def.xml)

Notes to Exhibits List:

* Submitted electronically herewith.

Attached as Exhibit 101 to this report are the following formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language): (i) Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the quarters and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, (ii) Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, (iii) Condensed Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, and (iv) Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. In accordance with Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the XBRL related information in Exhibit 101 to this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q shall not be deemed to be "filed" for purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act, or otherwise subject to the liability of that section, and shall not be part of any registration statement or other document filed under the Securities Act or the Exchange Act, except as shall be expressly set forth by specific reference in such filing.

**UNITED TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION
AND SUBSIDIARIES**

STATEMENT RE: COMPUTATION OF RATIO OF EARNINGS TO FIXED CHARGES

<u>(in millions)</u>	<u>Six Months Ended June 30,</u>	
	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>
Fixed Charges:		
Interest expense ¹	\$ 378	\$ 352
Interest capitalized	9	10
One-third of rents ²	72	69
Total fixed charges	<u>\$ 459</u>	<u>\$ 431</u>
Earnings:		
Income before income taxes	\$ 3,085	\$ 2,535
Fixed charges per above	459	431
Less: capitalized interest	(9)	(10)
	450	421
Amortization of interest capitalized	8	5
Total earnings	<u>\$ 3,543</u>	<u>\$ 2,961</u>
Ratio of earnings to fixed charges	<u>7.72</u>	<u>6.87</u>

¹ Pursuant to the guidance in the Income Taxes Topic of the FASB ASC, interest related to unrecognized tax benefits recorded was approximately \$15 million and \$11 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The ratio of earnings to fixed charges would have been 7.98 and 7.05 for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, if such interest were excluded from the calculation.

² Reasonable approximation of the interest factor.

July 26, 2010

Securities and Exchange Commission
100 F Street, N.E.
Washington, DC 20549

Commissioners:

We are aware that our report dated July 26, 2010 on our review of interim financial information of United Technologies Corporation (the "Corporation") for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 and included in the Corporation's quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2010 is incorporated by reference in its Registration Statement on Form S-3 (No. 333-167771), in the Registration Statement on Form S-4 (No. 333-77991) as amended by Post-Effective Amendment No. 1 on Form S-8 (No. 333-77991) and in the Registration Statements on Form S-8 (Nos. 333-163822, 333-156390, 333-156385, 333-150643, 333-125293, 333-110020, 333-100724, 333-100723, 333-100718, 333-82911, 333-77817, 333-21853, 333-21851, 033-57769 and 033-51385).

Very truly yours,

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

Hartford, Connecticut

CERTIFICATION

I, Louis R. Chênevert, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of United Technologies Corporation;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b. Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c. Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d. Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a. All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ LOUIS R. CHÊNEVERT

Louis R. Chênevert
Chairman & Chief Executive Officer

Date: July 26, 2010

CERTIFICATION

I, Gregory J. Hayes, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of United Technologies Corporation;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b. Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c. Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d. Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a. All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ GREGORY J. HAYES

Gregory J. Hayes

Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

Date: July 26, 2010

CERTIFICATION

I, Margaret M. Smyth, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of United Technologies Corporation;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b. Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c. Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d. Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a. All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ MARGARET M. SMYTH

Margaret M. Smyth
Vice President, Controller

Date: July 26, 2010

Section 1350 Certifications
Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
(Subsections (a) and (b) of Section 1350, Chapter 63 of Title 18, United States Code)

Pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (subsections (a) and (b) of section 1350, chapter 63 of title 18, United States Code), each of the undersigned officers of United Technologies Corporation, a Delaware corporation (the "Corporation"), does hereby certify that:

The Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2010 (the "Form 10-Q") of the Corporation fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and information contained in the Form 10-Q fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Corporation.

Date: July 26, 2010

/s/ LOUIS R. CHÊNEVERT

Louis R. Chênevert
Chairman & Chief Executive Officer

Date: July 26, 2010

/s/ GREGORY J. HAYES

Gregory J. Hayes
Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

Date: July 26, 2010

/s/ MARGARET M. SMYTH

Margaret M. Smyth
Vice President, Controller