

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No. __)

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement
- Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))**
- Definitive Proxy Statement
- Definitive Additional Materials
- Soliciting Material under §240.14a-12

United Technologies Corporation

(Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- No fee required
- Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

- Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.
- Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2) Form Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:



United Technologies Corporation
United Technologies Building
Hartford, CT 06101

Kathleen M. Hopko
Vice President,
Secretary and Associate General Counsel

March 24, 2009

VIA FACSIMILE

Glass Lewis & Company
One Sansome Street, Suite 3300
San Francisco, CA 94104

Attention: Mr. Michael Spalding

Re: Glass Lewis Proxy Analysis Concerning United Technologies Corporation's 2009 Annual Meeting of Shareowners

Dear Mr. Spalding:

Thank you for speaking with us regarding our concerns that Glass Lewis may have compared UTC's 2008 share price performance and compensation data with other companies' 2007 information in its initial report on United Technologies Corporation. We appreciate your review and issuance of a revised report.

As discussed, we also believe that Glass Lewis' criticism of UTC's disclosure of transactions with director-affiliated organizations is inappropriate given that UTC's disclosure complies with applicable SEC and NYSE rules. Large, diversified companies with global operations unavoidably will have inadvertent minor transactions with organizations with which directors have an affiliation. UTC has 223,000 employees worldwide and supports charities and educational institutions in the communities in which it operates. These extensive activities are likely to involve organizations with which UTC directors also may be associated.

UTC's disclosure confirms that none of these transactions exceeded the NYSE thresholds for materiality. In fact, in each case, payments made to director affiliated companies and contributions to tax exempt organizations with which directors were associated actually were less than one percent of the total revenues of the receiving organization for the most recent financial year available. We therefore do not believe that these transactions can reasonably be expected to impair a director's ability to act independently and in the best interests of shareowners. In any case, if Glass Lewis seeks expanded disclosure, we believe that requirement should be imposed through rules that apply equally to all public companies rather than on a selective basis.

In view of the above, we request that Glass Lewis further revise its analysis and withdraw its recommendation that shareowners withhold votes from Director McCormick. Please do not hesitate to contact us with any questions.

Sincerely,

/s/ Kathleen M. Hopko